arXiv:1606.01463v1 [math.AG] 5 Jun 2016

SPECIALIZING VARIETIES AND THEIR COHOMOLOGY FROM CHARACTERISTIC 0 TO CHARACTERISTIC p

BHARGAV BHATT

ABSTRACT. We present a semicontinuity result, proven in recent joint work with Morrow and Scholze, relating the mod p singular cohomology of a smooth projective complex algebraic variety X to the de Rham cohomology of a smooth characteristic p specialization of X: the rank of the former is bounded above by that of the latter. The path to this result passes through p-adic Hodge theory and perfectoid geometry, so we survey the relevant aspects of those subjects as well.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $f : X \to S$ be a proper smooth morphism of schemes. If S is a complex algebraic variety, then the singular cohomology $H^i(X_s^{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbf{Z})$ of the fiber X_s^{an} over a closed point $s \in S(\mathbf{C})$ is independent of s. A similar assertion holds if S is an algebraic variety in positive characteristic p once one replaces the singular cohomology group $H^i(X_s^{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbf{Z})$ with the ℓ-adic étale cohomology group $H^i_{\text{ét}}(X_s, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)$ for some prime $\ell \neq p$. In contrast, for p-adic cohomology theories such as crystalline cohomology, the analogous result is often false: the "pathologies" of characteristic p geometry furnish examples[1] where the p-torsion in $H^i_{\mathrm{crys}}(X_s)$ (or, essentially equivalently, the rank of the algebraic de Rham cohomology group $H^i_{dR}(X_s)$) can vary with s. The best one can say in general is that the ranks of $H^i_{\mathrm{crys}}(X_s)[\frac{1}{p}]$ are independent of s, and the ranks of $H^i_{dR}(X_s)$ are upper semicontinuous in s. The recent paper [BMS] paints a similar picture when S is the spectrum of a mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ valuation ring: the torsion in the crystalline cohomology $H^i_{\mathrm{crys}}(X_s)$ of the characteristic p fibre gives an upper bound for the torsion in the étale cohomology $H^i_{\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbf{Z}_p)$ of the (geometric) characteristic 0 fibre (and this upper bound can be strict). This extends previous results by many people, notably Faltings [Fal99] and Caruso [Car08].

The goal of these notes is to give a slightly simpler account of the mod p version of the aforementioned result from [BMS], i.e., in the mixed characteristic setting, we relate the de Rham cohomology $H^i_{dR}(X_s)$ of the special fibre to the $\mathbf{F}_p$-étale cohomology $H^i_{\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbf{F}_p)$ of the generic fibre. In contrast to the equicharacteristic cases, the cohomology theories attached to the two fibers in the mixed characteristic case have very different origins; in relating them, we will naturally encounter (and review) some key facets of p-adic Hodge theory.

1.1. Statement of the main theorem.

We now formulate the semicontinuity result more precisely. Fix a prime number p, and let C be a complete and algebraically closed nonarchimedean extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$; write $\mathcal{O}_C \subset C$ for the valuation ring, and let k be the residue field. The main goal of these notes is to sketch a proof of the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C$ be a proper smooth scheme. Then we have the inequality*

$$\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} H^i_{\text{ét}}(\mathfrak{X}_C, \mathbf{F}_p) \leq \dim_k H^i_{dR}(\mathfrak{X}_k/k).$$

More generally, the same holds for proper smooth formal schemes $\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C$ provided one interprets $\mathfrak{X}_C$ as the generic fibre in the sense of rigid-analytic geometry [Hub96].

[1] We are not aware of an example of this phenomenon in the literature. However, one can obtain an example by a slight modification of [BMS, §2.2] as follows: let $S = \mathrm{Spec}(\overline{\mathbf{F}_p}[\![t]\!])$ with generic point η and closed point s, let G/S be a finite flat commutative group scheme whose generic fibre is $\mathbf{Z}/p^2$ and whose special fibre is $\alpha_p \times \alpha_p$, and let $X \to S$ be a smooth projective approximation of the Artin stack $BG \to S$; then one can show that $H^1_{dR}(X_\eta)$ has dimension 1, while $H^1_{dR}(X_s)$ has dimension 2.

In particular, the presence of p-torsion in étale cohomology of the characteristic 0 fibre forces the de Rham cohomology of the characteristic p fibre to be larger than expected; more evocatively, the obstruction to "integrating" over a p-torsion class in singular homology is a differential form in characteristic p. This provides an explanation for some of the pathologies experimentally observed in de Rham cohomology in characteristic p; for example, Enriques surfaces in characteristic 2 must have non-trivial H^1_{dR} (first observed by direct calculation in [Ill79, Corollary 7.3.4] using the classification in [BM76]) since their characteristic 0 lifts have $\mathbf{Z}/2$ as their fundamental groups. We refer to [BMS, §1] for more on the history of previous work relating étale and de Rham cohomology in the p-adic setting.

1.2. **Strategy of the proof and its relation to [BMS].** The inequality above is a consequence of the more refined [BMS, Theorem 1.8], and the the proof given here follows the same skeleton as the one in *loc. cit.*: one attaches to $\mathfrak{X}$ a cohomology theory $R\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_C^\flat}(\mathfrak{X})$ that is a perfect complex over a characteristic p valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_C^\flat$ with generic fibre given (essentially) by the $\mathbf{F}_p$-étale cohomology of $\mathfrak{X}_C$ and special fibre given by the de Rham cohomology of $\mathfrak{X}_k$; this yields the desired inequality by semicontinuity. In fact, in both cases, the cohomology theory $R\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_C^\flat}(\mathfrak{X})$ is the hypercohomology of (the mod p reduction of) a certain complex $A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}$ on $\mathfrak{X}$, and the bulk of the work lies in analysing $A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}$ locally on $\mathfrak{X}$ using techniques of "almost mathematics" in the sense of Faltings [Fal88, Fal02, GR03] and related ideas coming from perfectoid spaces [Sch12, Sch13a]. The main difference is that, in these notes, since we are only after the global inequality above, we implement this strategy in a somewhat simplified fashion. More precisely:

(a) The paper [BMS] gives a precise local description of $A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}$. In these notes, however, we content ourselves with working entirely within the realm of almost mathematics (but see part (e) below); this allows us to bypass some delicate arguments in [BMS] involved in showing certain almost zero modules are trivial.

(b) The paper [BMS] identifies a specialization of $A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}$ and the de Rham-Witt complex of $\mathfrak{X}_k$, thus bringing the crystalline cohomology of $\mathfrak{X}_k$ into the fray. On the other hand, in these notes, we completely ignore the connection to de Rham-Witt complexes and crystalline cohomology; this is necessitated by our desire to avoid any technical baggage not relevant to Theorem 1.1, and we refer the interested reader to [Mor16] for more on this connection.

(c) The paper [BMS] contains a detailed discussion of the category in which the cohomology of the complex $A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}$ takes values, i.e., Breuil-Kisin-Fargues modules. A good understanding of this category is necessary for applications relating crystalline and étale cohomology with their concomitant structure, especially those involving the recovery of one from the other (such as [BMS, Theorem 1.4]). However, such an understanding is not necessary for geometric applications such as Theorem 1.1, so these notes avoid this discussion completely.

(d) Theorem 1.1 does not involve any almost mathematics; indeed, working entirely in the almost setting would kill all k-vector spaces, so it wouldn't be possible to say anything about $H^i_{dR}(\mathfrak{X}_k/k)$. Nevertheless, we succeed in deducing Theorem 1.1 from an almost description of $A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}$ by using a trick involving spherical completions. In particular, in these notes, we only construct the cohomology theory $R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X})$ when C is spherically complete (which suffices for applications such as Theorem 1.1).

(e) In these notes, we give an alternate, and somewhat faster and cleaner, approach to the main results of [BMS, §9] that involve identifying $A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}$ in the literal (and not merely almost) sense. This relies ultimately on an observation about the $L\eta$-functor (see Lemma 5.14) that was missed in [BMS], and is spelled out in Remarks 6.13, 7.11, and 8.3; these remarks are not relevant for the global applications such as Theorem 1.1.

1.3. **Outline.** We begin in §2 by recalling some basic notions on perfect and perfectoid rings; the main aim is to explain the geometry of Fontaine's ring A_{inf} (the most fundamental p-adic period ring), and use it to formulate a more precise theorem implying Theorem 1.1. In §3, we introduce some basic notions from almost mathematics, including a slightly nonstandard version of almost mathematics over Fontaine's ring A_{inf}, and prove a lemma about how this behaves in the spherically complete case. The relevant background from the perfectoid approach to p-adic Hodge

theory is summarized in §4; this includes, in particular, some notation surrounding perfectoid tori over $\mathcal{O}_C$ and their étale covers that will be used repeatedly later. One of the main innovtations of [BMS] — the Berthelot-Ogus functor $L\eta_f(-)$ and its ability to kill torsion in derived categories — is then introduced and studied in §5. These tools enable us to define and study the complex $A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}$ in §7; to make this study flow smoothly, we first discuss a certain specialization $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ of $A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}$ in §6. Finally, the relevant global consequences for Theorem 1.1 are deduced in §8.

1.4. **Conventions.** We explain the (largely standard) conventions followed in these notes.

Derived categories. All rings will be commutative. For a ring R, write Mod_R for the category of R-modules, and $D(R)$ for the derived category of R-modules. All tensor products, colimits, and limits are implicitly (and sometimes explicitly) derived. If $f \in R$ is a nonzerodivisor and $K \in D(R)$, we will often write K/f for $K \otimes_R R/f$ if there is no confusion. Also, we use the following fact without comment: if $K[\frac{1}{f}] = 0$ and $K/f = 0$, then $K = 0$. Indeed, the second condition implies f acts invertibly on K, whence the first condition implies $K = 0$.

Completions. Given a ring R and a finitely generated ideal $I = (f_1, ..., f_r) \subset R$, we will often talk about objects $M \in D(R)$ that are I-adically complete; this is always meant in the derived sense. Recall that M is *I-adically complete* iff the natural maps induce an isomorphism $M \simeq R\lim_n(M \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1,...,x_r]} \mathbf{Z}[x_1, ..., x_r]/(x_i^n))$, where $x_i \in \mathbf{Z}[x_1, ..., x_r]$ acts via f_i on M; this is equivalent to asking that the (derived) inverse limit of the tower $\{... \to M \xrightarrow{f_i} M \xrightarrow{f_i} M\}$ vanishes for each f_i. Such complexes form a full triangulated subcategory of $D(R)$ and have the following features: (a) a complex M is complete if and only if each $H^i(M)$ is complete, (b) the complete complexes which are discrete (i.e., have cohomology only in degree 0) form an abelian subcategory of all R-modules, and (c) a complete complex M is 0 if and only if $M \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1,...,x_r]} \mathbf{Z}$ is so. We refer to [BMS, §6.2] and [BS15, §3.4] for a more thorough discussion.

Koszul complexes. For an abelian group M equipped with commuting endomorphisms $f_1, ..., f_r$, we often consider the Koszul complex

$$K(M; f_1, ..., f_r) := M \to M \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus r} \to M \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \wedge^2(\mathbf{Z}^{\oplus r}) \to .... \to M \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \wedge^r(\mathbf{Z}^{\oplus r}),$$

viewed as a chain complex in cohomological degrees $0, ..., r$; this chain complex calculates $R\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1,...,x_r]}(\mathbf{Z}, M)$ where x_i acts by f_i on M and trivially on $\mathbf{Z}$. Now assume that M is an R-module for some ring R, and the f_i's are elements of R. We will often use the following observations: (a) the complex $K(M; f_1, ..., f_r)$ admits the structure of a complex over the simplicial commutative ring $\mathbf{Z} \otimes^L_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1,...,x_r]} R$, (b) each homology group of this complex is an R-module annihilated by each f_i, and (c) if $g \in (f_1, ..., f_r)$ is a nonzerodivisor, then $K(M; f_1, ..., f_r)$ admits the structure of a complex over $R/(g) \simeq \mathbf{Z} \otimes^L_{\mathbf{Z}[y]} R$, where y maps to 0 in $\mathbf{Z}$ and $g \in R$.

Others. The letter C will be reserved to denote a complete and algebraically closed nonarchimedean field; here the valuation is always required to have rank 1. When working over a ring A equipped with a notion of 'almost' isomorphisms, given A-modules M and N, we write $M \overset{a}{\simeq} N$ for an almost isomorphism between M and N.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank the organizers of the 2015 AMS Algebraic Geometry Symposium for encouraging me to prepare these notes on the joint work [BMS]. I'd also like to thank my collaborators Matthew and Peter for many enlightening discussions about this project; the novel ideas presented here, including those summarized in parts (d) and (e) of §1.2, were conceived in these exchanges. I'm grateful to Jean-Marc Fontaine for a valuable discussion about A_{inf}, and to Jacob Lurie for numerous conversations about $A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}$. Finally, thanks are due to Wei Ho, Jacob Lurie, and Peter Scholze for their many comments that helped significantly improve this writeup. During the preparation of these notes, I was supported by NSF Grant DMS #1501461 and a Packard fellowship.

2. Perfect and perfectoid rings

The main goal of this section is to introduce Fontaine's period ring A_{inf} in §2.3, explain what it looks like in Figure 1, and use it to give a better formulation of the main theorem proven in these notes in §2.4. The input to the construction of A_{inf} is the perfectoid ring $\mathcal{O}_C$; thus, we spend some time in §2.2 developing some language to study perfectoid rings and Fontaine's A_{inf}-functor in general. In particular, we give an introduction to Scholze's tilting correspondence [Sch12] in Remark 2.20. The main input in the presentation of the tilting correspondence here is the vanishing of the cotangent complex of perfectoid rings. This vanishing is a mixed characteristic analog of the vanishing of the cotangent complex of perfect rings in characteristic p, so we spend some time in §2.1 studying perfect rings and discussing consequences of the cotangent complex vanishing, such as a well-behaved Witt vector functor.

2.1. Perfect rings.

Fix a prime p. We will study the following class of $\mathbf{F}_p$-algebras:

Definition 2.1. An $\mathbf{F}_p$-algebra R is *perfect* if the Frobenius map $R \to R$ is an isomorphism. Let $\mathrm{Perf}_{\mathbf{F}_p}$ denote the category of perfect $\mathbf{F}_p$-algebras.

The following two constructions of perfect rings out of ordinary rings are useful in the sequel:

Example 2.2. Given any $\mathbf{F}_p$-algebra R, one obtains two canonically associated perfect rings by the formulas

$$R_{\mathrm{perf}} := \operatorname*{colim}_{x \mapsto x^p} R \quad \text{and} \quad R^{\mathrm{perf}} := \lim_{x \mapsto x^p} R.$$

The canonical map $R \to R_{\mathrm{perf}}$ (resp. $R^{\mathrm{perf}} \to R$) is the universal map from R to a perfect ring (resp. to R from a perfect ring). Some explicit examples that are relevant for the sequel are given as follows:

(1) Let $R = \mathbf{F}_p[x]$. Then $R_{\mathrm{perf}} = \mathbf{F}_p[x^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}]$ is the set of all polynomials over $\mathbf{F}_p$ with exponents in $\mathbf{N}[\frac{1}{p}]$, and $R^{\mathrm{perf}} \simeq \mathbf{F}_p$ is the set of constant polynomials in R.
(2) Let $S = \mathbf{F}_p[x^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}]/(x)$. Then $S_{\mathrm{perf}} = \mathbf{F}_p$, and S^{perf} is the x-adic completion of $\mathbf{F}_p[x^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}]$.
(3) Let R be a finite type k-algebra with k a perfect field of characteristic p. Then $R^{\mathrm{perf}} \simeq k^{\pi_0(\mathrm{Spec}(R))}$.

In particular, examples (2) and (3) illustrate an important feature: the functor $(-)^{\mathrm{perf}}$ tends to be quite lossy unless one restricts attention to *semiperfect* rings, i.e., rings where Frobenius is surjective; such rings will have many nilpotents (unless they are themselves perfect). In contrast, the functor $(-)_{\mathrm{perf}}$ completely destroys all nilpotents.

An essential feature of perfect rings is that they admit a canonical (and unique) "one parameter deformation" across $\mathbf{Z}_p \to \mathbf{F}_p$. Such a deformation can be provided explicitly by the Witt vector functor, but we take a slightly more abstract perspective to summarize the properties of this construction that are relevant for later applications:

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\widehat{\mathrm{Alg}}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ denote the category of p-adically complete p-torsionfree $\mathbf{Z}_p$-algebras. The functor $\widehat{\mathrm{Alg}}_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \to \mathrm{Perf}_{\mathbf{F}_p}$ determined by $B \mapsto B^\flat := (B/p)^{\mathrm{perf}}$ admits a left adjoint $A \mapsto W(A)$.*

Proof. We first construct the functor $W(-)$. For this, observe that $L_{A/\mathbf{F}_p} \simeq 0$: the Frobenius on A induces a map $L_{A/\mathbf{F}_p} \to L_{A/\mathbf{F}_p}$ that is simultaneously 0 (as this is true for any $\mathbf{F}_p$-algebra) and an isomorphism (as A is perfect). In particular, for any infinitesimal extension $R \to \mathbf{F}_p$, there is a unique (up to unique isomorphism) flat map $R \to A_R$ lifting $\mathbf{F}_p \to A$. Applying this to $R = \mathbf{Z}/p^n$ defines $W_n(A) = A_{\mathbf{Z}/p^n}$. Taking limits gives $W(A) := \lim W_n(A)$, and one readily checks that $W(A) \in \widehat{\mathrm{Alg}}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$, thus defining $W(-) : \mathrm{Perf}_{\mathbf{F}_p} \to \widehat{\mathrm{Alg}}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$. The adjunction between $W(-)$ and $(-)^\flat$ is an exercise in using the vanishing of the cotangent complex and the defining property of $(-)^{\mathrm{perf}}$. □

By functoriality, the Frobenius automorphism ϕ of a perfect ring A induces an automorphism of $W(A)$ that is abusively also denoted by ϕ.

Remark 2.4. The construction $A \mapsto W(A)$ on $\mathrm{Perf}_{\mathbf{F}_p}$ enjoys the following lifting feature: the identity map $A \to A$ lifts uniquely to a multiplicative map $[-] : A \to W(A)$: send $a \in A$ to $\lim b_n^{p^n}$, where $b_n \in W(A)$ is a lift of $a^{\frac{1}{p^n}}$. Less explicitly, the fiber over 1 of $W_{n+1}(A) \to W_n(A)$ is p-torsion; as multiplication by p is a bijection on the multiplicative monoid underlying A, there are no obstructions/choices in lifting $\mathrm{id} : A \to A$ inductively up along each $W_{n+1}(A) \to W_n(A)$ to get a multiplicative map $[-] : A \to W(A)$ in the limit.

Remark 2.5. Consider the full subcategory $\widehat{\mathrm{Alg}}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{\mathrm{perf}} \subset \widehat{\mathrm{Alg}}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ spanned by those rings B for which B/p is perfect. The proof of Proposition 2.3 shows that $W(-)$ gives an equivalence $\mathrm{Perf}_{\mathbf{F}_p} \simeq \widehat{\mathrm{Alg}}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{\mathrm{perf}}$. Moreover, for any such $B \in \widehat{\mathrm{Alg}}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{\mathrm{perf}}$, we have $L_{B/\mathbf{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{F}_p \simeq L_{(B/p)/\mathbf{F}_p} \simeq 0$, and thus the p-adic completion $\widehat{L_{B/\mathbf{Z}_p}}$ vanishes.

Example 2.6. Let $R = \mathbf{F}_p[x]_{\mathrm{perf}} = \mathbf{F}_p[x^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}]$. Then we claim that $W(R) = \widehat{\mathbf{Z}_p[x^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}]}$, where the completion is p-adic. To see this, by Remark 2.5, it suffices to observe that $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}_p[x^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}]}$ is an object of $\widehat{\mathrm{Alg}}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{\mathrm{perf}}$ that reduces mod p to R. More generally, if $R = R_{0,\mathrm{perf}}$ for an $\mathbf{F}_p$-algebra R_0, and $\widetilde{R}_0$ is a $\mathbf{Z}_p$-flat lift of R_0 equipped with a lift $\phi : \widetilde{R}_0 \to \widetilde{R}_0$ of Frobenius, then the same argument shows that $W(R)$ is the p-adic completion of $\mathrm{colim}_\phi \widetilde{R}_0$.

2.2. **Perfectoid rings.** Fix a complete and algebraically closed nonarchimedean extension $C/\mathbf{Q}_p$. To a first approximation, a perfectoid ring can be viewed as an analog of a perfect ring over $\mathcal{O}_C$. More precisely, one defines:

Definition 2.7 (Scholze)**.** An $\mathcal{O}_C$-algebra R is said to be *perfectoid* if R is p-adically complete, p-torsionfree, and Frobenius induces an isomorphism $R/p^{\frac{1}{p}} \simeq R/p$. Let $\mathrm{Perf}_{\mathcal{O}_C}$ be the category of all such rings.

Remark 2.8. For a p-adically complete p-torsionfree $\mathcal{O}_C$-algebra R, being perfectoid is equivalent to asking that the relative Frobenius for $\mathcal{O}_C/p \to R/p$ is bijective. In particular, a slight variant of the trick used in Proposition 2.3 shows that $L_{R/\mathcal{O}_C} \otimes_R R/p \simeq L_{(R/p)/(\mathcal{O}_C/p)}$ vanishes, and thus the p-adic completion $\widehat{L_{R/\mathcal{O}_C}}$ also vanishes.

Remark 2.9. In the literature, one finds a plethora of different notions of perfectoid rings, adapted to the problem at hand. In particular, the notion introduced above is sometimes called *integral perfectoid* to emphasize that p is not invertible on the ring in question.

In particular, the ring $\mathcal{O}_C$ itself is perfectoid. The most imporant examples for us are p-adic analogs of solenoids:

Example 2.10. The $\mathcal{O}_C$-algebra $\mathcal{O}_C\langle t_1^{\pm\frac{1}{p^\infty}}, ..., t_d^{\pm\frac{1}{p^\infty}}\rangle$, i.e., the p-adic completion of $\mathcal{O}_C[t_1^{\pm\frac{1}{p^\infty}}, ..., t_d^{\pm\frac{1}{p^\infty}}]$, is perfectoid. More generally, the p-adic completion of any étale $\mathcal{O}_C\langle t_1^{\pm\frac{1}{p^\infty}}, ..., t_d^{\pm\frac{1}{p^\infty}}\rangle$-algebra is perfectoid; here one uses that Frobenius base changes to Frobenius along an étale map.

In analogy with the Witt vector functor on perfect rings, there is an analogous "one parameter deformation" of a perfectoid ring given by Fontaine's $A_{\mathrm{inf}}(-)$ functor:

Definition 2.11 (Fontaine)**.** For a perfectoid $\mathcal{O}_C$-algebra R, define

$$R^\flat := \lim_{x \mapsto x^p} R/p \quad \text{and} \quad A_{\mathrm{inf}}(R) := W(R^\flat).$$

The ring $R^\flat$ is called the *tilt* of R (following Scholze). We write ϕ for the Frobenius automorphism of $R^\flat$ or $A_{\mathrm{inf}}(R)$. For $R = \mathcal{O}_C$ itself, we simply write $A_{\mathrm{inf}} := A_{\mathrm{inf}}(\mathcal{O}_C)$.

Remark 2.12. The notation $A_{\mathrm{inf}}(-)$ is meant to be suggestive: for a perfectoid $\mathcal{O}_C$-algebra R, the ring $A_{\mathrm{inf}}(R)$ is the universal pro-infinitesimal thickening of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ relative to $\mathbf{Z}_p$ (see [Fon94, §1.2]), i.e., for any infinitesimal

thickening $R' \to R$, there is a unique map $A_{\mathrm{inf}}(R) \to R'$ compatible with the map θ from Lemma 2.14 below. In particular, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$R\Gamma((\mathrm{Spec}(R)/\mathbf{Z}_p)_{\mathrm{inf}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{inf}}) \simeq A_{\mathrm{inf}}(R).$$

Since we do not need this later, we do not prove this assertion here.

The set $R^\flat$ can be described in a more "strict" fashion as follows:

Lemma 2.13. *Let R be a perfectoid $\mathcal{O}_C$-algebra. The natural map*

$$\alpha : R^{\flat,'} := \lim_{x \mapsto x^p} R \to R^\flat := \lim_{x \mapsto x^p} R/p$$

is a multiplicative bijection.

Proof. Write elements in $R^\flat$ as sequences $(a_0, a_1, a_2, ...)$ with $a_i \in R/p$ and $a_{i+1}^p = a_i$, and similarly for $R^{\flat,'}$. For injectivity of α: if $(a_i), (b_i) \in R^{\flat,'}$ with $a_i = b_i \mod p$ for all i, then one inductively shows that $a_{i+n}^{p^n} = b_{i+n}^{p^n} \mod p^{n+1}$ for all i, n, and thus $a_i = b_i \mod p^n$ for all i, n, which proves $a_i = b_i$ for all i by p-adic completeness. For surjectivity of α: given $(a_i) \in R^\flat$ and arbitrary lifts $\tilde{a}_i \in R$ of a_i, one checks that the sequence $n \mapsto \tilde{a}_{i+n}^{p^n}$ converges for all i, and thus setting $b_i := \lim_n \tilde{a}_{i+n}^{p^n} \in R$ gives an element $(b_i) \in R^{\flat,'}$ that lifts (a_i). □

We now justify why $A_{\mathrm{inf}}(R)$ may be considered as a "one parameter deformation" of R:

Lemma 2.14. *Fix some $R \in \mathrm{Perf}_{\mathcal{O}_C}$. The canonical projection $\overline{\theta} : R^\flat \to R/p$ fits into a pushout square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{\mathrm{inf}}(R) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & R \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \text{kill p}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \text{kill p}} \\ R^\flat & \xrightarrow{\overline{\theta}} & R/p. \end{array} \tag{1}$$

Both θ and $\overline{\theta}$ are surjective, and the kernel of either is generated by a nonzerodivisor.

Proof. The existence of a commutative square with the above maps is immediate from the adjunction in Proposition 2.3. The square is a pushout square as both vertical maps can be identified with reduction modulo p. Now $\overline{\theta}$ is surjective as R is integral perfectoid; the p-adic completeness of the top row then implies that θ is also surjective.

Next, we identify $\ker(\overline{\theta})$ using the multiplicative bijection $\alpha : R^{\flat,'} \simeq R$ from Lemma 2.13. Choose a compatible system of p-power roots of p in $\mathcal{O}_C$, viewed as an element $\underline{p} := (p, p^{\frac{1}{p}}, ...) \in \mathcal{O}_C^{\flat,'} \overset{\alpha}{\simeq} \mathcal{O}_C^\flat$. We first observe that (a) $\underline{p}$ is a nonzerodivisor, and (b) it generates the kernel of $\overline{\theta}$. For (a), using the multiplicative structure of α, it is enough to observe that p is a nonzerodivisor on R by $\mathcal{O}_C$-flatness. For (b), if $(a_i) \in R^{\flat,'}$ gives an element of $\ker(\overline{\theta})$ under α, then $a_0 \in (p)$; computing valuations and using that $a_{i+1}^p = a_i$, we learn that $a_i \in (p^{\frac{1}{p^i}})$ for all i. As R is $\mathcal{O}_C$-flat, this shows that $(a_i) \in R^\flat$ is divisible by $\underline{p}$, as wanted.

Finally, we identify $\ker(\theta)$. For this, consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\theta) & \longrightarrow & A_{\mathrm{inf}}(R) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & R & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \text{kill p}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \text{kill p}} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\overline{\theta}) & \longrightarrow & R^\flat & \xrightarrow{\overline{\theta}} & R/p & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Here the second row is obtained by applying $(-) \otimes_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}(R)} R^\flat$ to the first row, and using that R is p-torsionfree. Now choose any $\xi \in \ker(\theta)$ that maps to $\underline{p} \in \ker(\overline{\theta})$; for example, we may take $\xi = p - [\underline{p}]$ (by Remark 2.18 below, we have $\theta([\underline{p}]) = p$). Then we claim that (a) ξ is a nonzerodivisor, and (b) ξ generates $\ker(\theta)$. For (a), choose some

$0 \neq f \in A_{\mathrm{inf}}(R)$ such that $f \cdot \xi = 0$ in $A_{\mathrm{inf}}(R)$. Dividing f by a suitable power of p, since A_{inf} is p-adically separated, we may assume that $f \notin (p)$; as p is a nonzerodivisor, we still have $f \cdot \xi = 0$. But then ξ is a zerodivisor modulo p, which is a contradiction. For (b), one simply observes that $(\xi) \subset \ker(\theta)$ is an inclusion of p-adically complete flat $\mathbf{Z}_p$-modules which is an isomorphism modulo p by the previous paragraph, and thus an isomorphism by completeness. □

Remark 2.15. Fix $R \in \mathrm{Perf}_{\mathcal{O}_C}$. Then Lemma 2.14 gives us a map $\theta : A_{\mathrm{inf}}(R) \to R$. Using the Frobenius automorphism ϕ of $A_{\mathrm{inf}}(R)$, we obtain many more maps $A_{\mathrm{inf}}(R) \to R$. In particular, the map

$$\tilde{\theta} := \theta \circ \phi^{-1} : A_{\mathrm{inf}}(R) \to R$$

will play a crucial role in the sequel.

Some examples of the $A_{\mathrm{inf}}(-)$ construction are recorded next:

Example 2.16. Let $R = \mathcal{O}_C$. Choose the element $\varpi := \underline{p} \in \mathcal{O}_C^\flat$ as in the proof of Lemma 2.14, so we have $\mathcal{O}_C^\flat/\varpi \simeq \mathcal{O}_C/p$ by construction. The ring $\mathcal{O}_C^\flat$ turns out, by a fundamental result of Fontaine-Wintenberger, to be the valuation ring of a complete and algebraically closed nonarchimedean field $C^\flat := \mathcal{O}_C^\flat[\frac{1}{\varpi}]$ of characteristic p; any nonzero noninvertible element of $\mathcal{O}_C^\flat$, such as the element ϖ, is called a *pseudouniformizer*. In the special case $C = \mathbf{C}_p$, one can be more explicit: the map $\mathbf{F}_p[\varpi] \to \mathcal{O}_C^\flat$ identifies $\mathcal{O}_C^\flat$ with the ϖ-adically completed absolute integral closure $\widehat{\overline{\mathbf{F}_p[\![\varpi]\!]}}$ of the source. The structure of $\mathcal{O}_C^\flat$ and $A_{\mathrm{inf}}(\mathcal{O}_C)$ is studied further in §2.3.

Example 2.17. Let $R = \mathcal{O}_C\langle t_1^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}}, ..., t_d^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}}\rangle$ be the perfectoid $\mathcal{O}_C$-algebra from Example 2.10. In this case, one readily checks that $R^\flat \simeq \mathcal{O}_C^\flat\langle \underline{t}_1^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}}, ..., \underline{t}_d^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}}\rangle$ where $\underline{t}_i = (t_i, t_i^{\frac{1}{p}}, t_i^{\frac{1}{p^2}}, ...) \in R^\flat$ and the completion is ϖ-adic (for a pseudouniformizer $\varpi \in \mathcal{O}_C^\flat$ as in Example 2.16). By Example 2.6, the ring $A_{\mathrm{inf}}(R)$ is identified with $W(\mathcal{O}_C^\flat)\langle v_1^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}}, ..., v_d^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}}\rangle := \big(W(\mathcal{O}_C^\flat)[v_1^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}}, ..., v_d^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}}]\big)^\wedge$ where v_i lifts $\underline{t}_i$ and the completion is $(p, [\varpi])$-adic. Using either description given in Remark 2.4, one checks that $[\underline{t}]_i = v_i$.

Remark 2.18. Fix $R \in \mathrm{Perf}_{\mathcal{O}_C}$. By Remark 2.4, we have a multiplicative map $[-] : R^\flat \to A_{\mathrm{inf}}(R)$. Using the description $R^\flat \simeq \lim_{x \mapsto x^p} R$ of Lemma 2.14, we get a multiplicative map

$$\lim_{x \mapsto x^p} R \xrightarrow{[-]} A_{\mathrm{inf}}(R) \xrightarrow{\theta} R.$$

This map coincides with projection on the first factor, i.e., it sends a sequence $(a_i) \in \lim_{x \mapsto x^p} R$ to $a_0 \in R$. To see this, observe that the claim is certainly true after postcomposition with $R \to R/p$. For the rest, it suffices to argue as in Remark 2.4 using the tower $\{R/p^n\}$ instead of the tower $W_n(A)$ in *loc. cit.*.

Remark 2.19. Let A be one of the four rings appearing in the square (1) for $R = \mathcal{O}_C$. The proof of Lemma 2.14 shows that A is complete for the (p, ξ)-adic topology, where $\xi \in A_{\mathrm{inf}}(\mathcal{O}_C)$ is a generator for $\ker(\theta)$. Moreover, all maps in the square (1) are pro-infinitesimal thickenings with respect to this topology. It formally follows from the cotangent complex formalism that the category $\mathcal{C}_A$ of (p, ξ)-adically complete flat A-algebras A' with $\widehat{L_{A'/A}} \simeq 0$ (where the completion is (p, ξ)-adic) is independent of the ring A that has been chosen; more precisely, for any map $A \to B$ in square (1) for $R = \mathcal{O}_C$, the base change functor induces an equivalence $\mathcal{C}_A \simeq \mathcal{C}_B$. For $A = \mathcal{O}_C$, the category $\mathcal{C}_A$ includes $\mathrm{Perf}_{\mathcal{O}_C}$ as a full subcategory by Remark 2.8, and thus we obtain a description of perfectoid $\mathcal{O}_C$-algebras in terms of certain $\mathcal{O}_C^\flat$-algebras, as spelled out in Remark 2.20 below.

Remark 2.20. Let $\mathcal{O}_C^\flat$ be the valuation ring from Example 2.17. One defines the notion of a *perfectoid $\mathcal{O}_C^\flat$-algebra* in exactly the same way as the analogous objects over $\mathcal{O}_C$, with the element $\varpi \in \mathcal{O}_C^\flat$ playing the role of p; equivalently,

these are also just the ϖ-adically complete ϖ-torsionfree $\mathcal{O}_C^\flat$-algebras which are perfect rings. If $\mathrm{Perf}_{\mathcal{O}_C^\flat}$ denotes the resulting category, then the construction $R \mapsto R^\flat$ provides a functor $(-)^\flat : \mathrm{Perf}_{\mathcal{O}_C} \to \mathrm{Perf}_{\mathcal{O}_C^\flat}$. Conversely, using Lemma 2.14, the construction $S \mapsto W(S) \otimes_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}(\mathcal{O}_C),\theta} \mathcal{O}_C$ yields a functor $(-)^\sharp : \mathrm{Perf}_{\mathcal{O}_C^\flat} \to \mathrm{Perf}_{\mathcal{O}_C}$ in the reverse direction; equivalently, one may also define $S^\sharp$ using the equivalence from Remark 2.19. These functors give mutually inverse equivalences; this is a primitive example of Scholze's *tilting equivalence* from [Sch12, Theorem 5.2].

2.3. **Fontaine's A_{inf}.** Let C be a complete and algebraically closed nonarchimedean extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$. Our goal is to discuss the structure of $\mathcal{O}_C^\flat$ and $A_{\mathrm{inf}} := A_{\mathrm{inf}}(\mathcal{O}_C)$ in this section; using this structure, we will arrive at a better formulation of the main result proven in these notes in §2.4.

We begin by observing that the valuation on $\mathcal{O}_C$ pulls back along the multiplicative bijection $\mathcal{O}_C^\flat \simeq \lim_{x \mapsto x^p} \mathcal{O}_C$ to yield a valuation on $\mathcal{O}_C^\flat$ that turns the latter into a valuation ring with the same value group and residue field k as $\mathcal{O}_C$. Let $\mathfrak{m}^\flat \subset \mathcal{O}_C^\flat$ denote the maximal ideal, so $\mathcal{O}_C^\flat/\mathfrak{m}^\flat \simeq k \simeq \mathcal{O}_C/\mathfrak{m}$. The element $\varpi = \underline{p} \in \mathcal{O}_C^\flat$ from the proof of Lemma 2.14 is a pseudouniformizer in $\mathfrak{m}^\flat$. In this situation, the ring $A_{\mathrm{inf}} := A_{\mathrm{inf}}(\mathcal{O}_C)$ comes equipped with a few interesting specializations that are relevant in this paper:

(1) The *de Rham* specialization $\theta : A_{\mathrm{inf}} \to \mathcal{O}_C$: as explained in Lemma 2.14, this is obtained by killing a nonzerodivisor $\xi \in A_{\mathrm{inf}}$.
(2) The *Hodge-Tate* specialization $\tilde{\theta} : A_{\mathrm{inf}} \to \mathcal{O}_C$: as $\tilde{\theta} = \theta \circ \phi^{-1}$, this is obtained by killing $\tilde{\xi} := \phi(\xi) \in A_{\mathrm{inf}}$ for some ξ as in (1).
(3) The *étale* specialization $A_{\mathrm{inf}} \to W(C^\flat)$: this is obtained by p-adically completing $A_{\mathrm{inf}}[\frac{1}{[\underline{p}]}]$; equivalently, one applies $W(-)$ to the canonical map $\mathcal{O}_C^\flat \to C^\flat := \mathcal{O}_C^\flat[\frac{1}{\underline{p}}]$.
(4) The *crystalline* specialization $A_{\mathrm{inf}} \to W(k)$: this is obtained by p-adically completing $\mathrm{colim}\, A_{\mathrm{inf}}/([\underline{p}]^{\frac{1}{p^n}})$; equivalently, one applies $W(-)$ to the canonical map $\mathcal{O}_C^\flat \to k$[2].
(5) The *modular* specialization[3] $A_{\mathrm{inf}} \to \mathcal{O}_C^\flat$: this is obtained by simply setting $p = 0$.

A cartoon of $\mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})$ depicting the above specializations is given in Figure 1.

Remark 2.21. It is instructive to view $\mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})$ as formally analogous to the two-dimensional regular local ring $\mathbf{C}[\![x, y]\!]$ of functions on the formal affine plane $\widehat{\mathbf{A}^2}$, with p and $[\underline{p}]$ playing the role of x and y; in particular, the modular and crystalline specializations give the two "axes" of the plane, while the de Rham specialization cuts out the diagonal (take $\xi = p - [\underline{p}]$). The main philosophical difference is that there is no analog of the inclusion $\mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}[\![x, y]\!]$ of the ground field. More practically, the important difference is that, unlike any noetherian situation, the scheme $\mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})$ is "infinitely ramified" along the closed subset defined by $[\underline{p}] = 0$ as $[\underline{p}] \in A_{\mathrm{inf}}$ admits p-power roots of any order (which is reflected in the fact that the crystalline specialization is not cut out by a single nonzerodivisor). Despite these differences, this analogy can be pushed quite far in some respects (see [Ked16, SW]).

Remark 2.22. In addition to the preceding specializations, the following constructions starting with A_{inf} give some standard "period rings" and thus play an important role in p-adic Hodge theory:

(1) The ring A_{crys}: this is obtained by adjoining divided powers of ξ and p-adically completing, i.e., A_{crys} is the p-adic completion of the subring of $A_{\mathrm{inf}}[\frac{1}{p}]$ generated by A_{inf} and $\frac{\xi^n}{n!}$ for all $n \geq 1$.
(2) The ring B_{dR}^+: this is a discrete valuation ring obtained by completing $A_{\mathrm{inf}}[\frac{1}{p}]$ along the kernel of $\theta[\frac{1}{p}]$.

The specialization B_{dR}^+ and the image of $\mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathrm{crys}}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})$ are highlighted in Figure 1. In particular, note that the divisor giving the Hodge-Tate specialization meets the latter image, but is not contained in it.

[2]For future reference, we let $W(\mathfrak{m}^\flat) \subset A_{\mathrm{inf}}$ be the kernel of the map $A_{\mathrm{inf}} \to W(k)$; it is obtained by applying the Witt vector functor $W(-)$ to the nonunital perfect ring $\mathfrak{m}^\flat$, and can thus be defined as the p-adic completion of the ideal $\cup_n([\underline{p}]^{\frac{1}{p^n}}) \subset A_{\mathrm{inf}}$.

[3]This notation is non-standard.

Warning 2.23. Some of the discussion in this section, including the analogy between $\mathbf{C}[\![x,y]\!]$ and A_{inf}, might give the impression that A_{inf} has Krull dimension 2. In fact, the dimension is at least 3, and we do not know its exact value. To see why it is at least 3, one uses the chain

$$(0) \subset \cup_n([\underline{p}^{\frac{1}{p^n}}]) \subset W(\mathfrak{m}^\flat) \subset (p, W(\mathfrak{m}^\flat))$$

of primes; the issue, again, is one of completions as the third ideal above is the p-adic completion of the second one, but not equal to it.

In the sequel, it will be convenient, especially for calculational purposes, to make a particular choice of a generator $\xi \in \ker(\theta)$ that interacts well with Frobenius. Thus, we fix the following notation for the rest of the paper; all constructions are canonically independent of the choices.

Notation 2.24. Fix a compatible sequence $(1, \epsilon_p, \epsilon_{p^2}, ...)$ of p-power roots of 1 in $\mathcal{O}_C$ with $\epsilon_{p^n} \in \mu_{p^n}$ and $\epsilon_p \neq 1$; this can also be viewed as a trivialization $\underline{\epsilon} : \mathbf{Z}_p \simeq \mathbf{Z}_p(1)$. Write $\underline{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{O}_C^\flat$ for the corresponding element in $\mathcal{O}_C^\flat$, which gives $[\underline{\epsilon}] \in A_{\mathrm{inf}}$. Note that $[\underline{\epsilon}^a] \in A_{\mathrm{inf}}$ makes sense for any $a \in \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ as $\mathcal{O}_C^\flat$ is perfect and $[-]$ is multiplicative; we will write $\epsilon^a \in \mathcal{O}_C$ for its image under θ, so $\epsilon^{\frac{m}{p^k}} := (\epsilon_{p^k})^m$ for $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. Set

$$\mu = [\underline{\epsilon}] - 1 \in A_{\mathrm{inf}}$$

and define

$$\xi := \frac{\mu}{\phi^{-1}(\mu)} = \frac{[\underline{\epsilon}] - 1}{[\underline{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{p}}] - 1} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} [\underline{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{p}}]^i \qquad \text{and} \qquad \tilde{\xi} := \phi(\xi) = \frac{\phi(\mu)}{\mu} = \frac{[\underline{\epsilon}^p] - 1}{[\underline{\epsilon}] - 1} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} [\underline{\epsilon}]^i.$$

The following properties are easily verified, and will be useful in the sequel:

(1) The element ξ (resp. $\tilde{\xi}$) generates the kernel of θ (resp. $\tilde{\theta}$).
(2) For $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$, if $v_p(a) \leq v_p(b)$, then $[\underline{\epsilon}^a] - 1$ divides $[\underline{\epsilon}^b] - 1$.
(3) For $a \in \mathbf{Z}$, the image of $\frac{[\underline{\epsilon}^a]-1}{[\underline{\epsilon}]-1}$ in A_{inf}/μ coincides with a. In particular, $\tilde{\xi} = p \mod \mu$.
(4) For any $n \geq 1$, one has the formula

$$\mu = \Big(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi^{-i}(\xi) \Big) \cdot \phi^{-n}(\mu).$$

(5) p is a nonzero divisor modulo μ, and vice versa; ditto for ξ and $\tilde{\xi}$ replacing μ.
(6) $\tilde{\xi}$ is a nonzero divisor modulo μ, and vice versa.
(7) The ideals (p, ξ), $(p, \tilde{\xi})$, (p, μ) and $(\tilde{\xi}, \mu)$ cut out the closed point of $\mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})$ (set-theoretically), and hence define the same topology on A_{inf}.

In terms of Figure 1, the formula in (4) above implies that the zero locus $\mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mu) \subset \mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})$ of $\mu \in A_{\mathrm{inf}}$ includes the divisors $\mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\phi^{-n}(\xi))$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Remark 2.25. One may describe the ideal $(\mu) \subset A_{\mathrm{inf}}$ canonically via the Witt vector functor as follows: as the projection map $R : W(\mathcal{O}_C) \to \mathcal{O}_C$ is a pro-infinitesimal thickening modulo all powers of p, there is a canonical map $\theta_\infty : A_{\mathrm{inf}} \to W(\mathcal{O}_C)$ factoring θ through R since $\widehat{L_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mathbf{Z}_p}} \simeq 0$. Then one can show that the kernel of θ_∞ is exactly $(\mu) \subset A_{\mathrm{inf}}$ (see [BMS, Lemma 3.23]), giving the promised description. We do not elaborate on this further as we won't need this description (or the Witt vector functor for non-perfect rings) in these notes.



FIGURE 1. A cartoon of $\mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})$. This depiction of the poset of prime ideals in A_{inf} emphasizes certain vertices and edges that are relevant to p-adic cohomology theories.

- The darkened vertices (labelled '●' or '●') indicate (certain) points of $\mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})$ and are labelled by the corresponding residue field.
- The gray/orange arrows indicate specializations in the spectrum, while the blue label indicates the completed local ring along the specialization.
- The locus in $\mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})$ where almost zero modules live is indicated in an olive bubble.
- The labels in purple match the arrows to one of the previously introduced specializations.
- The smaller bullets (labelled '•' or '•') down the middle are meant to denote the $\phi^{\mathbf{Z}}$-translates to the two drawn points labelled C (with $\phi^{\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ translates of the generic point of the de Rham specialization in orange, and the rest in black), and are there to remind the reader that not all points/specialization in $\mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})$ have been drawn.
- The vertices/labels/arrows in orange mark the points and specializations that lie in $\mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mu) \subset \mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})$.
- The triangular region covered in teal identifies the image of $\mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathrm{crys}}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})$.
- The arrow labelled ϕ on the far right indicates the Frobenius action on $\mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})$, which fixes the 4 vertices of the outer diamond in the above picture.

2.4. **A better formulation of the main theorem.** Using the strucutre A_{inf} explained in §2.3, one can give a better formulation of the main theorem proven in these notes:

Theorem 2.26. *Let C be spherically complete, and fix a proper smooth formal scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ over $\mathcal{O}_C$. Then one can functorially attach to $\mathfrak{X}$ a perfect complex $R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X}) \in D_{\mathrm{perf}}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})$. This complex has the following specializations:*

(1) de Rham: there is a canonical isomorphism

$$R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X}) \otimes_{A_{\mathrm{inf}},\theta} \mathcal{O}_C \simeq R\Gamma_{dR}(\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C).$$

(2) Étale: there is a canonical isomorphism

$$R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X}) \otimes_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}} W(C^\flat) \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\mathfrak{X}_C, \mathbf{Z}_p) \otimes W(C^\flat).$$

Using the output of this construction, one can formally prove Theorem 1.1 as follows:

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Fix a proper smooth formal scheme $\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C$. Assume first that C is spherically complete. Theorem 2.26 then gives us a complex $R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X})$ whose modular specialization $R\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_C^\flat}(\mathfrak{X}) := R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X}) \otimes_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}} \mathcal{O}_C^\flat$ is a perfect $\mathcal{O}_C^\flat$-complex whose fibres are identified as follows:

$$R\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_C^\flat}(\mathfrak{X}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C^\flat} C^\flat \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\mathfrak{X}_C, \mathbf{F}_p) \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_p} C^\flat \quad \text{and} \quad R\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_C^\flat}(\mathfrak{X}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C^\flat} k \simeq R\Gamma_{dR}(\mathfrak{X}_k/k).$$

In particular, by semicontinuity for ranks of the cohomology groups of the fibres of a perfect complex, we get an inequality

$$\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} H^i_{\text{ét}}(\mathfrak{X}_C, \mathbf{F}_p) \leq \dim_k H^i_{dR}(\mathfrak{X}_k/k),$$

which proves Theorem 1.1 when C is spherically complete. The general case of Theorem 1.1 then follows immediately as we may replace C by a larger spherically closed algebraically closed extension (see [Rob00, Chapter 3] for a construction of the desired field extension, and [Hub96, 0.3.2] for insensitivity of étale cohomology to such extensions in the rigid-analytic setting). □

Remark 2.27. We briefly comment on some of the deficiencies of Theorem 2.26, when compared to [BMS, Theorem 1.8]. First, the assumption that C is spherically complete is not important for the theorem, cf. Remark 8.3. Second, there is also a good description of the crystalline specialization: by [BMS, Theorem 1.8 (iii)], one has a canonical isomorphism

$$R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X}) \otimes_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}} W(k) \simeq R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathfrak{X}_k/W(k)),$$

compatible with Frobenius. The method used in these notes relies on almost mathematics over A_{inf}, which necessitates systematically ignoring A_{inf}-modules that come from $W(k)$-modules via restriction of scalars. Thus, it does not seem to be easy to deduce the crystalline comparison using the argument given here (at least without developing some notions from relative de Rham-Witt theory).

Remark 2.28. In the context of the cohomology theory $R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X})$ from Theorem 2.26, the region covered by $\mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathrm{crys}})$ in Figure 1 is the "largest" region over which the theory $R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X})$ can be constructed using techniques of crystalline cohomology. More precisely, the absolute crystalline cohomology of $\mathfrak{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} \mathcal{O}_C/p$ enters the mix via a natural isomorphism

$$R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X}) \otimes_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}} A_{\mathrm{crys}} \simeq R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}((\mathfrak{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} \mathcal{O}_C/p)/\mathbf{Z}_p) \tag{2}$$

by [BMS, Theorem 1.8 (iv)]. Moreover, the construction of $R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X})$ shows that

$$R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X})[\frac{1}{\mu}] \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\mathfrak{X}_C, \mathbf{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} A_{\mathrm{inf}}[\frac{1}{\mu}], \tag{3}$$

where $\mu \in A_{\mathrm{inf}}$, as in Notation 2.24, is an element whose zero locus in $\mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})$ can be viewed heuristically as the union of all $\mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\phi^{-n}(\xi))$ for $n \geq 0$ with $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$ (see Figure 1 for a better picture of $\mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mu)$, and Proposition 8.2 (iii) for a proof of (3)). Combining these gives a large subset of $\mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})$ where the fibres of $R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X})$ can be identified classically. However, this glueing process does not cover $\mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})$. In particular, it does not help describe the Hodge-Tate or the modular specialization in classical terms, leading to the following questions:

(1) Is there a crystalline construction of the Hodge-Tate specialization $R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X}) \otimes_{A_{\mathrm{inf}},\tilde{\theta}} \mathcal{O}_C$? For example, does it arise as $R\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, -)$ applied to a natural sheaf of commutative dg algebras in $D_{qc}(\mathfrak{X})$? The construction (via the complex $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ from §6) shows that the answer is "yes" if one works with E_∞-algebras instead, so this question is really asking if the E_∞-algebra $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}} \in D_{qc}(\mathfrak{X})$ admits a "strict" model. Note that [BMS, Remark 7.8] provides a non-trivial obstruction to representing $A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}/p$ by a strict object; however, this vanishes on $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}}/p$ as $(\epsilon_p - 1)^p = 0 \in \mathcal{O}_C/p$ for $\epsilon_p \in \mu_p$.

(2) Can the modular specialization $R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X}) \otimes_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}} \mathcal{O}_C^\flat$ be constructed directly using the generic fibre? More concretely, is there an example of two proper smooth (formal) schemes $\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C$ and $\mathfrak{Y}/\mathcal{O}_C$ such that $\mathfrak{X}_C \simeq \mathfrak{Y}_C$, and yet $\mathfrak{X}_k$ and $\mathfrak{Y}_k$ have different de Rham cohomologies? At the level of individual cohomology groups and under some torsionfreeness assumptions on $H^*_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathfrak{X}_k)$, such examples can not exist by [BMS, Theorem 1.4]. On the other hand, at the level of Artin stacks, such examples do exist.[4] However, it is not clear to the author how to approximate these examples using smooth proper (formal) schemes.

Remark 2.29. The de Rham, étale, and crystalline specializations acquire their names thanks to the comparison isomorphisms explained above. Likewise, the Hodge-Tate specialization $\tilde{\theta} : A_{\mathrm{inf}} \to \mathcal{O}_C$ is closely connected to the Hodge-Tate filtration from [Sch13b, §3.3]: for any smooth formal scheme $\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C$, thanks to Proposition 8.2 (4), there is an E_2 spectral sequence

$$E_2^{i,j} : H^i(\mathfrak{X}, \Omega^j_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C}\{-j\}) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X}) \otimes_{A_{\mathrm{inf}},\tilde{\theta}} \mathcal{O}_C)$$

describing the Hodge-Tate specialization of $R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X})$. (Here the twist $\{-j\}$ is an integral modification of the Tate twist, see Definition 6.7.) When $\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C$ is also proper, the target is identified with $H^{i+j}_{\text{ét}}(\mathfrak{X}_C, \mathbf{Z}_p) \otimes C$ after inverting p by formula (3) above: the generic point of the Hodge-Tate specialization does not lie in $\mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mu)$ in Figure 1. Thus, after inverting p, the preceding spectral sequence recovers the Hodge-Tate one from [Sch13b, Theorem 3.20]; we refer the interested reader to [AG15a] for a detailed discussion of this spectral sequence.

3. Some almost mathematics

Fix a complete and algebraically closed nonarchimedean field C. The basic philosophy informing Faltings' approach [Fal88, Fal99, Fal02] to p-adic Hodge theory is to do commutative algebra over $\mathcal{O}_C$ up to a "very small error". The latter phrase is codified in the following definition:

Definition 3.1. An $\mathcal{O}_C$-module M is *almost zero* if $\mathfrak{m} \cdot M = 0$. A map $f : K \to L$ in $D(\mathcal{O}_C)$ is an *almost isomorphism* if the cohomology groups of the cone of f are almost zero.

Remark 3.2. The preceding definition is meaningful because $\mathfrak{m}^2 = \mathfrak{m}$ (since the value group of C is divisible). Indeed, this formula implies[5] that almost zero modules form an abelian subcategory $\Sigma \subset \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_C}$ of all $\mathcal{O}_C$-modules

[4] Let $G = \mathbf{Z}/p^2$, viewed as a constant finite flat group scheme over $\mathcal{O}_C$, let $E/\mathcal{O}_C$ be an elliptic curve with supersingular reduction, and let $H \subset E$ be the finite $\mathcal{O}_C$-flat subgroup generated generated by a point of exact order p^2 in $E(C)$. Then $H_C \simeq \mathbf{Z}/p^2$, and $H_k \simeq E[p]$. Then the stacks BG and BH have the same generic fibre, but different de Rham cohomologies for the special fibre [BMS, §2.2].

[5] If C were discretely valued, then the closure of $\mathfrak{m}$-torsion modules under extensions and colimits would be all torsion $\mathcal{O}_C$-modules, and the corresponding quotient category would be just that of C-vector spaces.

that is closed under subquotients and extensions. Thus, there is a good way to ignore almost zero modules: one simply works in the Serre quotient $\mathrm{Mod}^a_{\mathcal{O}_C} := \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_C}/\Sigma$. This category is abelian, and inherits a $\otimes$-structure from $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_C}$; thus, one can make sense of basic notions of commutative algebra and algebraic geometry in $\mathrm{Mod}^a_{\mathcal{O}_C}$ (see Gabber-Ramero). There are obvious (exact $\otimes$-) functors $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_C} \to \mathrm{Mod}^a_{\mathcal{O}_C} \to \mathrm{Mod}_C$ which sandwich the almost integral objects (i.e., $\mathrm{Mod}^a_{\mathcal{O}_C}$) in between integral objects (i.e., $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_C}$) and rational ones (i.e., Mod_C); in practice, the almost integral theory turns out to be much closer to the integral one than the rational one. In fact, one goal of these notes is to highlight an approach for passing from almost integral statements to integral ones, building on Remark 3.5 below.

The book [GR03] develops almost analogs of various constructions in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry. We do not need or use these notions in a serious way in these notes. However, it will be convenient to develop some language to discuss the derived category version of almost mathematics, summarized in the following construction:

Construction 3.3. Since $\mathfrak{m} \otimes^L_{\mathcal{O}_C} \mathfrak{m} \simeq \mathfrak{m}^2 = \mathfrak{m}$, the restriction of scalars functor $D(k) \to D(\mathcal{O}_C)$ is fully faithful, and its essential image comprises exactly those $K \in D(\mathcal{O}_C)$ with $H^i(K)$ being almost zero; let $D(\mathcal{O}_C)^a := D(\mathcal{O}_C)/D(k)$ for the Verdier quotient.[6] Thus, the quotient functor $D(\mathcal{O}_C) \to D(\mathcal{O}_C)^a$ is the localization of $D(\mathcal{O}_C)$ along almost isomorphisms; write $K^a \in D(\mathcal{O}_C)^a$ for the image of $K \in D(\mathcal{O}_C)$. This functor has a left-adjoint $K^a \mapsto K_! := \mathfrak{m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} K$ and a right adjoint $K^a \mapsto R\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\mathfrak{m}, K)$; both adjoints are fully faithful. Note that quotient functor as well as the two adjoints preserve p-adically complete objects since any $K \in D_\Sigma(\mathcal{O}_C)$ is automatically p-adically complete. We sometimes abuse notation and write K_* instead of $(K^a)_*$ for $K \in D(\mathcal{O}_C)$.

Recall that C is said to be *spherically complete* if any nested sequence of closed discs in C has a non-empty intersection. The main algebraic fact about almost mathematics relative to $\mathcal{O}_C$ that we will need is:

Lemma 3.4. *Let C be spherically complete. For any perfect complex $K \in D(\mathcal{O}_C)$, we have $K \simeq (K^a)_*$.*

Proof. By choosing a resolution of K, we may assume $K = \mathcal{O}_C$. Thus, we must check that $R\mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{m}, \mathcal{O}_C) =: (\mathcal{O}_C^a)_*$ is simply $\mathcal{O}_C[0]$. We may write $\mathfrak{m} = \cup_n \mathfrak{m}_n$ with each $\mathfrak{m}_n$ being principal. We have to show that every map $\mathfrak{m} \to \mathcal{O}_C$ extends to a map $\mathcal{O}_C \to \mathcal{O}_C$, and that $R^1 \lim(\mathfrak{m}_n^\vee) = 0$, where $\mathfrak{m}_n^\vee := \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{m}_n, \mathcal{O}_C)$. For the first, note that a map $\mathfrak{m} \to \mathcal{O}_C$ is given by an element $x \in C$ such that $\epsilon \cdot x \in \mathcal{O}_C$ for every $\epsilon \in \mathfrak{m}$. But then $v(x) + v(\epsilon) \geq 0$ for each $\epsilon \in \mathfrak{m}$, and thus $v(x) \geq 0$, so $x \in \mathcal{O}_C$. For the second, we first note that the canonical map $\alpha : C \to \lim C/\mathfrak{m}_n^\vee$ is surjective by spherical completeness of C[7]. The long exact sequence for $R^i \lim$ applied to the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \{\mathfrak{m}_n^\vee\} \to \{C\} \to \{C/\mathfrak{m}_n^\vee\} \to 0$$

of projective systems then gives the desired $\lim^1$ vanishing. □

Remark 3.5. Let C be spherically complete. Lemma 3.4 can be phrased as follows: the functor $K \mapsto K^a$ gives an equivalence between $D_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{O}_C)$ and the full subcategory $D_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{O}_C)^a \subset D(\mathcal{O}_C)^a$ spanned by those bounded complexes $K \in D(\mathcal{O}_C)^a$ such that each $H^i(K)_*$ is finitely presented (or, equivalently, perfect). From henceforth, a perfect complex in $D(\mathcal{O}_C)^a$ refers an object of $D_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{O}_C)^a$. A formal consequence of this equivalence is the following abstract statement: the functor $(-)_*$ gives an equivalence between the category of first quadrant E_2-spectral sequences in $\mathrm{Mod}^a_{\mathcal{O}_C}$ whose terms are perfect in the preceding sense and the analogous category defined using $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_C}$.

[6] By [GR03, 2.4.9], one has $D(\mathcal{O}_C)^a \simeq D(\mathrm{Mod}^a_{\mathcal{O}_C})$.

[7] Indeed, an element $\{\overline{a_n}\} \in \lim C/\mathfrak{m}_n^\vee$ determines a descending sequence $\{a_n + \mathfrak{m}_n^\vee\}$ of open discs in C, where $a_n \in C$ is any lift of $\overline{a_n} \in C/\mathfrak{m}_n^\vee$. By spherical completeness, there is some $a \in C$ such that $a \in a_n + \mathfrak{m}_n^\vee$ for all n; but then $a \in C$ maps to $\{\overline{a_n}\} \in \lim C/\mathfrak{m}_n^\vee$ under the above map, giving surjectivity.

Remark 3.6. Some assumption on C beyond completeness is necessary for Lemma 3.4. Indeed, for $C = \widehat{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p}$, we have $\mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathcal{O}_C}(k, \mathcal{O}_C) \neq 0$, and hence $\mathcal{O}_C \to (\mathcal{O}_C^a)_*$ is not an isomorphism.

Earlier, we introduced the ideal $W(\mathfrak{m}^\flat) = \ker(A_{\mathrm{inf}} \to W(k))$ satisfying $W(\mathfrak{m}^\flat) \cdot \mathcal{O}_C = \mathfrak{m}$. For applications, it is convenient to extend the basic notions of almost mathematics from the pair $(\mathcal{O}_C, \mathfrak{m})$ to the pair $(A_{\mathrm{inf}}, W(\mathfrak{m}^\flat))$:

Definition 3.7. An A_{inf}-module M is *almost zero* if $W(\mathfrak{m}^\flat) \cdot M = 0$. A map $f : K \to L$ in $D(A_{\mathrm{inf}})$ is an *almost isomorphism* if the cohomology groups of the cone of f are almost zero.

The preceding definition comes with a warning: we do not know if $W(\mathfrak{m}^\flat)^2 \neq W(\mathfrak{m}^\flat)$ as ideals in A_{inf} (due to issues of completion, see [Ked16, Remark 1.4]), so almost zero modules need not form a Serre subcategory of all A_{inf}-modules, and thus the usual formalism of almost mathematics (as discussed in Remark 3.2) does not automatically apply. Nevertheless, in these notes, we will work only with complexes of A_{inf}-modules which are p-adically complete; in fact, we will typically only encounter (p, μ)-adically complete objects. In this context, the preceding subtlety can be skirted, and the formalism of almost mathematics can be salvaged as follows.

Construction 3.8. Let $D_{comp}(A_{\mathrm{inf}}) \subset D(A_{\mathrm{inf}})$ be the full subcategory of all p-adically complete complexes. Since $W(\mathfrak{m}^\flat)\widehat{\otimes}^L_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}} W(\mathfrak{m}^\flat) \simeq W(\mathfrak{m}^\flat)$, the restriction of scalars functor $D_{comp}(W(k)) \to D_{comp}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})$ is fully faithful, and its essential image comprises those $K \in D_{comp}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})$ with each $H^i(K)$ being almost zero. Let $D_{comp}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})^a := D_{comp}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})/D_{comp}(W(k))$ be the Verdier quotient, and write $K \mapsto K^a$ for the quotient map $D_{comp}(A_{\mathrm{inf}}) \to D_{comp}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})^a$. This functor has a left-adjoint defined by $K^a \mapsto K_! := K\widehat{\otimes}_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}} W(\mathfrak{m}^\flat)$, and a right-adjoint defined by $K^a \mapsto K_* := R\mathrm{Hom}_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}}(W(\mathfrak{m}^\flat), K)$; both these adjoints are fully faithful. We sometimes abuse notation and write K_* instead of $(K^a)_*$ for $K \in D_{comp}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})$.

Remark 3.9. Constructions 3.3 and 3.8 are compatible in an evident sense: if $D_{comp}(\mathcal{O}_C) \subset D(\mathcal{O}_C)$ denotes the full subcategory of p-adically complete complexes, then, for any $K \in D_{comp}(\mathcal{O}_C)$, the formation of $(K^a)_*$ commutes with the forgetful functor $D_{comp}(\mathcal{O}_C) \to D_{comp}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})$, and similarly for $(K^a)_!$.

The A_{inf}-analogue of Lemma 3.4 is the next lemma, which will be quite useful in §8 for converting certain almost isomorphisms into true isomorphisms:

Lemma 3.10. *Let C be spherically complete. If $K \in D(A_{\mathrm{inf}})$ is perfect, then $K \simeq (K^a)_*$.*

Proof. We may assume $K = A_{\mathrm{inf}}$. Thus, we must check that $R\mathrm{Hom}_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}}(W(\mathfrak{m}^\flat), A_{\mathrm{inf}}) \simeq A_{\mathrm{inf}}$. As both sides are ξ-adically complete, it suffices to check the same after applying $(-) \otimes_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}} A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\xi$. Now adjunction gives

$$R\mathrm{Hom}_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}}(W(\mathfrak{m}^\flat), A_{\mathrm{inf}}) \otimes_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}} A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\xi \simeq R\mathrm{Hom}_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}}(W(\mathfrak{m}^\flat), \mathcal{O}_C) \simeq R\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_C}(W(\mathfrak{m}^\flat) \otimes_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}} \mathcal{O}_C, \mathcal{O}_C).$$

Moreover, $W(\mathfrak{m}^\flat) \otimes_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}} \mathcal{O}_C$ is p-adically complete (as $\mathcal{O}_C$ is a perfect A_{inf}-complex), and thus identifies with $W(\mathfrak{m}^\flat)\widehat{\otimes}_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}}\mathcal{O}_C \simeq \mathfrak{m}$. It is thus enough to check $R\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\mathfrak{m}, \mathcal{O}_C) \simeq \mathcal{O}_C$, which follows from Lemma 3.4. □

4. Framed algebras and input from perfectoid geometry

In this section, we introduce the main calculational tools in the perfectoid approach to p-adic Hodge theory: p-adic formal tori over $\mathcal{O}_C$ and their étale covers. The general strategy of proving results[8] is:

(a) Formulate an appropriate global statement.
(b) Check the statement for tori.

[8] What follows is an oversimplified overview of the steps involved in proving p-adic comparison theorems in the good reduction case via this approach; we refer to the original papers of Faltings and Scholze for precise statements, and §6 for an instance of this strategy in action.

(c) Check the statement for étale covers of tori by devissage.

(d) Pass to the global case by glueing the output of (c) for a suitable Zariski cover.

In practice, a key technical hurdle is often step (b), and this is typically circumvented (or, rather, reduced to an explicit calculation) using the almost purity theorem [Fal02, §2b], [Sch12, Theorem 7.9].

Thus, we begin in §4.1 by introducing some notation surrounding étale covers of tori in the p-adic setting; thanks to a result of Kedlaya [Ked05] in characteristic p geometry, we can actually get by with *finite* étale covers, which will simplify some arguments later. Having introduced this notation, we explain in §4.2 how the almost purity theorem gives an explicit description of certain "nearby cycles" complexes of interest in p-adic geometry; we adopt here the framework of adic spaces as advocated by Scholze [Sch13a] in lieu of the older approach via the Faltings topos (see [Fal02, AG15b]), and also recall the fundamental theorem (see Theorem 4.15) identifying étale cohomology in terms of certain nearby cycles complexes in the global case.

4.1. **Framed algebras.** Our goal is to study formal schemes over $\mathcal{O}_C$ in relation to their geometric fibres. The relevant class of formal schemes is defined as follows:

Definition 4.1. We will say that an $\mathcal{O}_C$-algebra is *formally smooth* if R is p-adically complete and the structure map $\mathcal{O}_C \to R$ is flat and smooth modulo p. We will say a formal scheme over $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_C)$ is *smooth* if it is locally of the form $\mathrm{Spf}(R)$ for a formally smooth $\mathcal{O}_C$-algebra R equipped with the p-adic topology.

The most important example of a smooth formal $\mathcal{O}_C$-scheme for our purposes is:

Example 4.2. The formal torus $\mathbb{T}^d_{\mathcal{O}_C}$ is given by $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_C\langle t_1^{\pm 1}, ..., t_d^{\pm 1}\rangle)$, where the ring is given the p-adic topology.

Our strategy is to study smooth formal $\mathcal{O}_C$-schemes by relating them to formal tori via étale co-ordinates, and also studying their deformations along the pro-infinitesimal thickening $A_{\mathrm{inf}} \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{O}_C$. Thus, it is quite convenient to introduce some notation concerning formal tori and their deformations to such thickenings:

Notation 4.3. Let $A \in \{\mathcal{O}_C, A_{\mathrm{inf}}, A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mu\}$; each such an A is viewed as an A_{inf}-algebra (in the obvious way for A_{inf} and A_{inf}/μ, and via θ for $\mathcal{O}_C$), and is equipped with the (p,μ)-adic topology (and note that $\mu = 0$ for $A \in \{\mathcal{O}_C, A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mu\}$). Write $P^d_A = A\langle t_1^{\pm 1}, ..., t_d^{\pm 1}\rangle$ for the co-ordinate ring of the formal torus $\mathbb{T}^d_A$ of dimension d over A; we also write $P^d = P^d_{\mathcal{O}_C}$. Taking inverse limits along the multiplication by p map on $\mathbb{T}^d_A$ gives a formal scheme $\mathbb{T}^d_{A,\infty}$ whose co-ordinate ring is denoted $P^d_{A,\infty}$; again, we drop the subscript "A" when $A = \mathcal{O}_C$. As an A-algebra, $P^d_{A,\infty}$ is described by

$$P^d_{A,\infty} = A\langle t_1^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}}, ..., t_d^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}}\rangle. \tag{4}$$

In particular, the structure of $P^d_{A,\infty}$ as an A-module is given by

$$P^d_{A,\infty} \simeq \widehat{\bigoplus_{(a_1,...,a_d)\in \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]^d}} A \cdot \prod_{i=1}^d t_i^{a_i}, \tag{5}$$

where the completion is (p,μ)-adic. The submodule $P^d_A \subset P^d_{A,\infty}$ is spanned by the (completed) summands indexed by $\mathbf{Z}^d \subset \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]^d$. Note that the ring P^d_∞ is a perfectoid $\mathcal{O}_C$-algebra (see Example 2.10).

Warning 4.4. In the notation above, by Example 2.17, one has the following formula:

$$P^d_{A_{\mathrm{inf}},\infty} \simeq A_{\mathrm{inf}}(P^d_\infty). \tag{6}$$

However, this is a bit misleading in terms of functoriality with respect to the choice of co-ordinates. Indeed, the canonical identification proven in Example 2.17 is $A_{\mathrm{inf}}(P^d_\infty) = A_{\mathrm{inf}}\langle v_1^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}}, ..., v_d^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}}\rangle$ with $v_i = [\underline{t_i}]$ being the

Teichmuller lifts of the tilted co-ordinates $\underline{t_i}$ on $(P^d_\infty)^\flat$. In particular, one must heed this warning when transporting structure from P^d_∞ to $P^d_{A_{\inf},\infty}$ via (6) and the functoriality of the $A_{\inf}(-)$ operation. For example, if a group G acts P^d-linearly on P^d_∞, then one obtains by functoriality a G-action on $P^d_{A_{\inf},\infty}$, but this action need not be trivial on the subring $P^d_{A_{\inf}} \subset P^d_{A_{\inf},\infty}$; an explicit example of this phenomenon arises in Construction 4.5 below.

Recall that in Notation 2.24 we have a fixed a compatible sequence of p-power roots of 1, viewed as an element $\underline{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{O}_C^\flat$. This choice enables us to explicitly describe the canonical Galois action present on the perfectoid covers of tori introduced above:

Construction 4.5. The multiplication by p^k map $\mathbb{T}^d_{\mathcal{O}_C} \to \mathbb{T}^d_{\mathcal{O}_C}$ is an fppf $(\mu_{p^k})^{\oplus d}$-torsor. Passing to the inverse limit over all k, the canonical map $\mathbb{T}^d_{\mathcal{O}_C,\infty} \to \mathbb{T}^d_{\mathcal{O}_C}$ is a torsor for the pro-(finite group scheme) $\mathbf{Z}_p(1)^{\oplus d}$. In particular, there is a continuous action of the profinite group $\mathbf{Z}_p(1)^{\oplus d}(\mathcal{O}_C)$ on P^d_∞. Using the identification $\mathbf{Z}_p(1)^{\oplus d}(\mathcal{O}_C) \overset{[\underline{\epsilon}]}{\simeq} \mathbf{Z}_p^{\oplus d} =: \Delta^d$ coming from the choice of $[\underline{\epsilon}]$, this induces a P^d-linear Δ^d-action on P^d_∞. Explicitly, this action respects the decomposition in (5) for $A = \mathcal{O}_C$, and the j-th basis vector $e_j \in \mathbf{Z}_p^{\oplus d}$ acts on the monomial $\prod_{i=1}^d t_i^{a_i}$ by multiplying it by ϵ^{a_i}. Formula (6) then induces a Δ^d-action on $P^d_{A_{\inf},\infty}$ (after taking Warning 4.4 into account), which can be described explicitly as follows: the decomposition in (5) (for $A = A_{\inf}$) is Δ^d-equivariant, and the induced action of the j-th basis vector $e_j \in \mathbf{Z}_p^{\oplus d}$ on the summand $A_{\inf} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^d t_i^{a_i}$ is given by multiplication by $[\underline{\epsilon}^{a_j}]$. This action preserves the subring $P^d_{A_{\inf}} \subset P^d_{A_{\inf},\infty}$, and the induced Δ^d-action on $P^d_{A_{\inf}}$ is trivial modulo μ since $[\underline{\epsilon}^{a_i}] = 1$ modulo μ provided $a_i \in \mathbf{Z}$. Thus, by passage to the quotient, this induces a $P^d_{A_{\inf}/\mu}$-linear action on $P^d_{A_{\inf}/\mu}$ lifting the P^d-linear action on P^d_∞.

The group cohomology of the Galois group Δ^d acting on co-ordinate rings of the perfectoid tori can be calculated in terms of explicit Koszul complexes, and forms the basic ingredient of many calculations to follow:

Lemma 4.6. *Fix some A as in Construction 4.5.*

(1) We have a canonical isomorphism

$$R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, P^d_{A,\infty}) \simeq \widehat{\bigoplus_{(a_1,\ldots,a_d)\in \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]^d}} K(A; [\underline{\epsilon}^{a_1}]-1, \ldots, [\underline{\epsilon}^{a_d}]-1),$$

where the completion is (p,μ)-adic.

(2) When $A = A_{\inf}/\mu$ or $A = \mathcal{O}_C$, this decomposes further as

$$R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, P^d_{A,\infty}) \simeq \left(R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, \mathbf{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} P^d_A\right) \oplus \widehat{\bigoplus_{(a_1,\ldots,a_d)\in \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]^d - \mathbf{Z}^d}} K(A; [\underline{\epsilon}^{a_1}]-1, \ldots, [\underline{\epsilon}^{a_d}]-1). \quad (7)$$

(3) In the decomposition in equation (7), each homology group of the summand $K(A; [\underline{\epsilon}^{a_1}]-1, \ldots, [\underline{\epsilon}^{a_d}]-1)$ appearing on the right is a finite direct sum of copies of $A/([\underline{\epsilon}^a]-1)$ for some $a \in \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{p}] - \mathbf{Z}$.

(4) The second summand on the right in equation (7) is annihilated by $[\underline{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{p}}] - 1$.

Proof. (1) follows from equation (5), the compatibility of $R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, -)$ with completed direct sums, and the standard fact that the group cohomology of topologically cyclic groups is computed by a Koszul complex. For (2), breaking the index set in (1) into its integral and nonintegral pieces shows

$$R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, P^d_{A,\infty}) \simeq R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, P^d_A) \oplus \widehat{\bigoplus_{(a_1,\ldots,a_d)\in \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]^d - \mathbf{Z}^d}} K(A; [\underline{\epsilon}^{a_1}]-1, \ldots, [\underline{\epsilon}^{a_d}]-1).$$

Now note that the Δ^d-action is trivial on $P^d_A \subset P^d_{A,\infty}$ for $A = A_{\inf}/\mu$ or $A = \mathcal{O}_C$. Thus, the first summand above is identified $R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, \mathbf{Z}_p)\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{Z}_p} P^d_A$ by base change. As $H^i_{cont s}(\Delta^d, \mathbf{Z}_p)$ is a finite free $\mathbf{Z}_p$-module, we can replace the

completed tensor product with a regular tensor product; this gives (2). For (3), choose $a_i \in \{a_1, ..., a_d\}$ with minimal p-adic valuation, so $a_i \in \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{p}] - \mathbf{Z}$; after relabelling, we may assume $i = 1$. Define a 2-term complex M as follows:

$$M = \left(A \xrightarrow{[\underline{\epsilon}^{a_1}]-1} A\right).$$

Then M admits the structure of an $A_{\mathrm{inf}}/([\underline{\epsilon}^{a_1}] - 1)$-complex. By the choice of a_1, it follows that $[\underline{\epsilon}^{a_i}] - 1$ is 0 in $A_{\mathrm{inf}}/([\underline{\epsilon}^{a_1}] - 1)$ for all i, and thus acts trivially on M. This means that $K(A; [\underline{\epsilon}^{a_1}] - 1, ..., [\underline{\epsilon}^{a_d}] - 1)$ is a finite direct sum of shifts of M, so we are reduced to showing that the homology groups of M are isomorphic to $A/([\underline{\epsilon}^{a_1}] - 1)$. This is clear for $A = \mathcal{O}_C$ as $[\underline{\epsilon}^{a_1}] - 1$ is a nonzero on $\mathcal{O}_C$ (since a_1 is nonintegral). For $A = A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mu$, this follows from the fact that A_{inf} is a domain, and $\mu = ([\underline{\epsilon}^{a_1}] - 1) \cdot g$ for some $g \in A_{\mathrm{inf}}$. Finally, (4) follows instantly from (3) as $[\underline{\epsilon}^{a}] - 1$ divides $[\underline{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{p}}] - 1$ for $a \in \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{p}] - \mathbf{Z}$. □

As promised earlier, our strategy is to study smooth formal $\mathcal{O}_C$-schemes in terms of étale toric co-ordinates. Thus, the following class of smooth formal schemes plays a key role:

Definition 4.7. Fix a formally smooth $\mathcal{O}_C$-algebra R. A *framing* of R is a finite étale map $\square : P^d \to R$. If such a framing exists, then $\mathrm{Spf}(R)$ (or R) is called *small*.

Remark 4.8. In [BMS, Definition 8.5], the framings are only required to be étale, and not necessarily finite. The stronger hypothesis above is equally ubiquitous in practice (see Lemma 4.9), and makes certain arguments involving completed tensor products flow more easily.

We next check that there exist enough small affine opens on any smooth formal scheme:

Lemma 4.9 (Kedlaya)**.** *If $\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C$ is a smooth formal scheme, then there exists a basis of small affine opens $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathfrak{X}$.*

Proof. Fix some non-zero non-unit $a \in \mathcal{O}_C$. By the liftability of étale maps across pro-infinitesimal thickenings, it is enough to show that the smooth $\mathcal{O}_C/a$-scheme $\mathfrak{X}_a := \mathfrak{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} \mathcal{O}_C/a$ admits a basis of affine opens that are finite étale over $\mathbb{T}^d_{\mathcal{O}_C/a}$. By standard finite presentation arguments, it suffices to show the same for the smooth k-scheme $\mathfrak{X}_a \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C/a} k \simeq \mathfrak{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} k$. Now [Ked05, Theorem 2] (setting $m = 0$) shows that an analogous statement is true if one replaces the torus $\mathbb{T}^d_k$ by the affine space $\mathbf{A}^d_k$, so it is enough to show that each $x \in \mathbf{A}^d(k)$ has a Zariski open neighbourhood U_x such that U_x is isomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^d_k$. Such a U_x can be chosen, for example, by translating x to $(1, 1, ..., 1) \in \mathbf{A}^d(k)$ and then removing the co-ordinate hyperplanes. □

Given a framed algebra, one can lift the constructions in Notation 4.3 along the framing in an evident way:

Construction 4.10. Given a framed algebra $(R, \square)$, we construct the following auxiliary rings and group actions:

- The perfectoid algebra $R^{\square}_{\infty} := P^d_{\infty} \widehat{\otimes}_{P^d} R$.
- For any $A \in \{\mathcal{O}_C, A_{\mathrm{inf}}, A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mu\}$, the framing $\square$ deforms uniquely to give an étale P^d_A-algebra $R^{\square}_A$.
- For any $A \to \mathcal{O}_C$ as above, there is a "perfectoid" version $R^{\square}_{A,\infty} := P^d_{A,\infty} \widehat{\otimes}_{P^d_A} R_A$ relative to A. For $A = A_{\mathrm{inf}}$, we have the formula $R^{\square}_{A_{\mathrm{inf}},\infty} \simeq A_{\mathrm{inf}}(R_{\infty})$.
- By the topological invariance of the étale site, the Δ^d-action on $P^d_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}} \to P^d_{A_{\mathrm{inf}},\infty}$ passes to a Δ^d-action on $R^{\square}_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}} \to R^{\square}_{A_{\mathrm{inf}},\infty}$. On passage to the quotient, this gives an $R^{\square}_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mu}$-linear action on $R^{\square}_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mu,\infty}$, and an R-linear action on $R^{\square}_{\infty}$.

Remark 4.11. Let $(R, \square)$ be a framed algebra, and let $R \to S$ be a finite extension that is étale after inverting p. Write $S^{\square}_{\infty} := R^{\square}_{\infty} \otimes_R S$ for the base change to R_{∞}; note that this base change is already p-adically complete. Then

the classical formulation of Faltings' almost purity theorem (see [Fal88, Theorem 3.1], [Fal02, §2b]) states that the map $R^{\square}_{\infty} \to S^{\square}_{\infty}$ is almost finite étale. In particular, if $R[\frac{1}{p}] \to S[\frac{1}{p}]$ was Galois with group G, then the canonical map

$$R^{\square}_{\infty} \to R\Gamma_{cont s}(G, S^{\square}_{\infty})$$

is an almost isomorphism. This particular consequence of the almost purity theorem is the essential one for the calculations that follow.

4.2. **Almost purity and étale cohomology.** We now relate the smooth formal $\mathcal{O}_C$-schemes introduced §4.1 to their generic fibres. All results presented here are contained [Sch13a], and many of them are originally due to to Faltings [Fal02] in different language and under some stronger hypotheses on integral models; we stick to the former for compatibility with [BMS] and wider applicability.

Let $\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C$ be a smooth formal scheme, and write X for the generic fibre (viewed as an adic space). The space X comes equipped with the pro-étale topology $X_{\text{pro\'et}}$, which carries a sheaf $\mathcal{O}^+_X$ of $\mathbf{Z}_p$-algebras, as well as its p-adic completion $\widehat{\mathcal{O}^+_X}$. One the key results of the theory is the following [Fal02, §3], [Sch13a, §4]:

Theorem 4.12. *The sheaf $\widehat{\mathcal{O}^+_X}$ is locally perfectoid, i.e., for any affinoid $U \in X_{\text{pro\'et}}$, there exists a cover $V \to U$ with V affinoid such that $\widehat{\mathcal{O}^+_X}(V)$ is a perfectoid $\mathcal{O}_C$-algebra, and $H^i(V_{\text{pro\'et}}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}^+_X}) \overset{a}{\simeq} 0$ for $i > 0$.*

The basic example of this theorem is captured in the following example:

Example 4.13. Say $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{Spf}(R)$ is small, and $\square : P^d \to R$ is a framing. As $R \to R^{\square}_{\infty}$ is the p-adic completion of a direct limit of R-algebras which are étale after inverting p, the map $\mathrm{Spf}(R^{\square}_{\infty}) \to \mathrm{Spf}(R)$ induces a pro-étale cover $U \to X$ on generic fibres, the value of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}^+_X}(U) \simeq R^{\square}_{\infty}$ is perfectoid.

Since $\widehat{\mathcal{O}^+_X}$ is locally perfectoid, one can apply Fontaine's functor $A_{\mathrm{inf}}(-)$ (as in Definition 2.11) to the values of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}^+_X}$ to obtain a sheaf $A_{\mathrm{inf},X}$ of A_{inf}-algebras on $X_{\text{pro\'et}}$. The almost purity theorem (or, rather, the consequence recalled in Remark 4.11) tells us what the cohomology of these sheaves on certain objects looks like:

Theorem 4.14. *Assume $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{Spf}(R)$ is small, and $\square : P^d \to R$ is a framing. Then there is a canonical almost isomorphism*

$$R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, R^{\square}_{\infty}) \overset{a}{\simeq} R\Gamma(X_{\text{pro\'et}}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}^+_X})$$

and

$$R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, R^{\square}_{A_{\mathrm{inf}},\infty}) \overset{a}{\simeq} R\Gamma(X_{\text{pro\'et}}, A_{\mathrm{inf},X}).$$

Using Lemma 4.6, we see in particular that both complexes above are quite "large" in some way; for example, the complex $H^1(R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, P^d_{\infty}))$ has infinitely nonzero direct summands. Nevertheless, in the global case, there is enough cancellation in the local-to-global spectral sequence to give a finite dimensional answer [Fal02, Corollary 1 to Theorem 8], [Sch13a, Theorem 5.1]:

Theorem 4.15. *Assume $\mathfrak{X}$ is proper. Then there is a natural almost isomorphism*

$$R\Gamma(X_{\text{pro\'et}}, \mathbf{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_C \overset{a}{\simeq} R\Gamma(X_{\text{pro\'et}}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}^+_X})$$

and

$$R\Gamma(X_{\text{pro\'et}}, \mathbf{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} A_{\mathrm{inf}} \overset{a}{\simeq} R\Gamma(X_{\text{pro\'et}}, A_{\mathrm{inf},X}).$$

We do not know if Theorem 4.15 is true in the real (i.e., non-almost) world.

Remark 4.16. Consider the nearby cycles map $\nu : (X_{\text{proét}}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}_X^+}) \to (\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Zar}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$: this is simply the map[9] of ringed topoi obtained by taking generic fibres of the formal schemes. Using this map, one can reformulate Theorem 4.14 (resp. Theorem 4.15) as describing the values (i.e., hypercohomology), in the almost sense, of the complexes $R\nu_*\widehat{\mathcal{O}_X^+}$ and $R\nu_* A_{\text{inf},X}$ when $\mathfrak{X}$ is small (resp. $\mathfrak{X}$ is proper). This perspective will be relevant for the sequel as we will modify the complex $R\nu_* A_{\text{inf},X}$ in a suitable way to prove our main theorem.

Theorem 4.15 is quite surprising at first glance: the sheaf $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_X^+}$ is the completion of $\mathcal{O}_X^+$, and the latter is a pro-étale sheafified version of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$ on X (after inverting p). Thus, one might naively expect that $H^*(X_{\text{proét}}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}_X^+})[\frac{1}{p}]$ resembles the coherent cohomology $H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, so it must have cohomological dimension roughly $\dim(X)$. On the other hand, Theorem 4.15 tells us that we instead obtain p-adic étale cohomology, which has cohomological dimension $2\dim(X)$. This apparent confusion is resolved by noticing that $H^*(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{O}_X^+)$ has *a lot* of torsion; this torsion builds up to copies of $\mathcal{O}_C$ under p-adic completion, thereby accounting for the missing cohomological degrees.

Remark 4.17. Assume that $C := \mathbf{C}_p := \widehat{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p}$, and that $\mathfrak{X}$ is the p-adic completion of a proper smooth $\overline{\mathbf{Z}_p}$-scheme $\mathcal{X}$. In this case, the complex $K = R\Gamma(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{O}_X^+)$ can be described purely algebro-geometrically. In fact, one can show that $K \simeq M \otimes_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}_p}} \mathcal{O}_C$, where M is the value on $(\mathcal{X}[\frac{1}{p}], \mathcal{X})$ of the h-sheafification of the presheaf $(U, \overline{U}) \mapsto R\Gamma(\overline{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{U}})$ for the h-topology on Beilinson's category of arithmetic pairs over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ (see [Bei12, §2.2] for the category of pairs, [Bei12, §3.3] for an identification of the p-adic completion of M with the $\mathcal{O}_C$-étale cohomology of X, and [Bei12, §3.4] for an identification of $M[\frac{1}{p}]$ with the coherent cohomology of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{X}[\frac{1}{p}]$.)

Remark 4.18. Continuing the theme and notation of Remark 4.17, we will explain a direct construction of the complex M appearing above in the special case where $\mathcal{X}/\overline{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ is an abelian scheme. Let $[p^n] : \mathcal{X}_n \to \mathcal{X}$ be the multiplication by p^n map on the abelian scheme $\mathcal{X}$, so the finite group $T_n := \mathcal{X}(C)[p^n]$ acts by translation on $\mathcal{X}_n$ compatibly with $[p^n]$ for the trivial action on the target. As n varies, we get a tower

$$\ldots \to \mathcal{X}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{[p]} \mathcal{X}_n \xrightarrow{[p]} \ldots. \xrightarrow{[p]} \mathcal{X}_0 = \mathcal{X}.$$

Passing to cohomology, this defines a complex

$$M := \operatorname*{colim}_n R\Gamma_{cont}(T_n, R\Gamma(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_n})) \simeq R\Gamma_{cont}(T, R\Gamma(\mathcal{X}_\infty, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_\infty})),$$

where $\mathcal{X}_\infty := \lim \mathcal{X}_n$ (as schemes), and $T = \lim T_n$ is the p-adic Tate module of $\mathcal{X}$. As $[p^n]$ is finite étale in characteristic 0, there is a canonical map $M \to R\Gamma(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{O}_X^+)$, and we will check that the induced map $\alpha : M \otimes_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}_p}} \mathcal{O}_C \to R\Gamma(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{O}_X^+)$ is an isomorphism. Let $X_n = \mathcal{X}_n[\frac{1}{p}]$ for simplicity, so X is the analytification of $X_0 \otimes_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}} \mathbf{C}_p$. As $[p^n]$ is étale after inverting p, we have

$$R\Gamma(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}) \simeq R\Gamma_{cont}(T_n, R\Gamma(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{O}_{X_n}))$$

for all n by Galois descent. Thus, all the transition maps in the colimit defining M are isomorphisms after inverting p, so $\alpha[\frac{1}{p}]$ is an isomorphism. Also, note that $[p]^*$ induces p^i on $H^i(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) \simeq \wedge^i H^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$. As p-adic completion kills $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$-modules, the p-adic completion of $R\Gamma(\mathcal{X}_\infty, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_\infty}) \simeq \operatorname{colim} R\Gamma(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_n})$ is identified with $\mathcal{O}_C[0]$, and thus

$$\widehat{M} \simeq R\Gamma_{cont}(T, \mathcal{O}_C).$$

Now abelian varieties are $K(\pi, 1)$ for the étale topology with fundamental groups given by the Tate modules, so $\widehat{\alpha}$ is also an isomorphism, and hence α is an isomorphism.

[9] The nomenclature is explained as follows: if $\mathfrak{X}$ is the p-adic completion of a smooth $\mathcal{O}_C$-scheme $\mathcal{X}$, then the complex $R\nu_*\mathbf{Z}_\ell$ calculates the nearby cycles of the constant sheaf $\mathbf{Z}_\ell$ for the map $\mathcal{X} \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_C)$ by [Hub96, Theorem 0.7.7].

5. The decalage functor

The main homological ingredient that goes into Theorem 1.1 as well as [BMS] is the "décalage" functor of Ogus [BO78, §8]. This functor turns out to give a systematic way for killing some torsion in the derived category, and will be leveraged in the sequel to get rid of the "largeness" alluded to following Theorem 4.14. For the rest of this section, fix a ring A and a regular element $f \in A$. The key (underived) functor is:

Definition 5.1. For any chain complex $K^\bullet$ of f-torsionfree A-modules, define a subcomplex $\eta_f(K^\bullet) \subset K^\bullet[\frac{1}{f}]$ by setting

$$\eta_f(K^\bullet)^i := \{\alpha \in f^i K^i \mid d(\alpha) \in f^{i+1}K^{i+1}\}.$$

The association $K^\bullet \to \eta_f(K^\bullet)$ is an endo-functor of the category of f-torsionfree A-complexes[10]. This functor behaves understandably on cohomology:

Lemma 5.2. *For a chain complex $K^\bullet$ of f-torsionfree A-modules, there is a functorial identification $H^i(\eta_f(K^\bullet)) \simeq H^i(K^\bullet)/H^i(K^\bullet)[f]$; equivalently, this is also identified with the image $fH^i(K^\bullet)$ of multiplication by f on $H^i(K^\bullet)$.*

Remark 5.3. The definition of η_f only depends on the ideal $(f) \subset A$, and not its chosen generator. Moreover, the identification in Lemma 5.2 is functorial in $K^\bullet$; however, this identification depends on choice of f. More canonically, we can write

$$H^i(\eta_f(K^\bullet)) \simeq (H^i(K^\bullet)/H^i(K^\bullet)[f]) \otimes_A (f^i),$$

where $(f^i) \subset A[\frac{1}{f}]$ denotes the fractional A-ideal generated by f^i. When formulated this way, the identification is completely canonical, i.e., independent of f. For notational ease and calculational simplifications, we will ignore all such twists in this paper unless otherwise specified.

Using this observation, one checks that for any $M \in D(A)$ with a representative $K^\bullet$ with f-torsionfree terms, the object $\eta_f(K^\bullet) \in D(A)$ depends only on M, and is independent of the choice $K^\bullet$ of representative. Thus, we get:

Proposition 5.4. *Applying the functor $\eta_f(-)$ to a representative with f-torsionfree terms, we obtain a functor $L\eta_f : D(A) \to D(A)$. This functor satisfies $H^i(L\eta_f(K)) \simeq H^i(K)/H^i(K)[f]$ functorially in K, and hence commutes with truncations in the derived category.*

In particular, we obtain a endofunctor of $D(A)$ that kills torsion in homology. However, this pleasant feature comes with a warning: one must be careful when directly applying standard derived categorical intuition to $L\eta$:

Warning 5.5. The functor $L\eta_f$ is not exact. Indeed, with $A = \mathbf{Z}$ and $f = p$, one readily computes that $L\eta_f(\mathbf{Z}/p) = 0$, and yet $L\eta_f(\mathbf{Z}/p^2) = \mathbf{Z}/p \neq 0$.

To understand the behaviour of $L\eta_f(-)$ better, fix some $K \in D(A)$. It is clear that $L\eta_f(K)[\frac{1}{f}] = K[\frac{1}{f}]$. Thus, to understand $L\eta_f(K)$, we must understand $L\eta_f(K) \otimes_A A/f$. For this, recall that the following construction:

Construction 5.6. For any $K \in D(A)$, we have the following associated Bockstein complex:

$$(H^*(K/f), \beta_f) := \left(\dots \to H^i(K \otimes_A f^i A/f^{i+1}A) \xrightarrow{\beta_f^i} H^{i+1}(K \otimes_A f^{i+1}A/f^{i+2}A) \to \dots\right), \tag{8}$$

where the differential β_f^i is the boundary map associated to the exact triangle obtained by tensoring the canonical triangle

$$f^{i+1}A/f^{i+2}A \to f^i A/f^{i+2}A \to f^i A/f^{i+1}A$$

[10] In fact, there is an evident analog on any ringed topos, as well as an almost version when working over $\mathcal{O}_C$ or A_{inf}; in these notes, we stick to the punctual case for convenience of exposition, and refer to [BMS, §6] for a more detailed and general perspective.

with K. (The necessary identity $\beta_f^{i+1} \circ \beta_f^i = 0$ can be verified directly, or by observing that $\mathrm{Ext}_A^2(A/f, -) = 0$.)

Remark 5.7. As in Remark 5.3, we can ignore the twists in Construction 5.6 to simply write

$$(H^*(K/f), \beta_f) := \Big(\ldots \to H^i(K \otimes_A A/f) \xrightarrow{\beta_f^i} H^{i+1}(K \otimes_A A/f) \to \ldots \Big),$$

with the differential induced by the boundary map in the triangle

$$A/f \xrightarrow{f} A/f^2 \xrightarrow{\mathrm{can}} A/f$$

as before; such an identification depends on the choice of f (and not merely on the ideal (f)), and will be used without further comment in the sequel when performing calculations.

An elementary example of this construction is the following:

Example 5.8. Fix some $K \in D(A)$ such that $f \cdot H^i(K) = 0$ for all i. Then we claim that $(H^*(K/f), \beta_f)$ is acyclic. To see this, note that the assumption on K and the exact triangle

$$K \xrightarrow{f} K \to K \otimes_A A/f$$

give a short exact sequence

$$0 \to H^i(K) \xrightarrow{a_i} H^i(K \otimes_A A/f) \xrightarrow{b_i} H^{i+1}(K) \to 0$$

for all i. Under this identification, the map β_f is identified as $a_{i+1} \circ b_i$. Thus, the cycles and boundaries in degree i in the complex $(H^*(K/f), \beta_f)$ coincide the image of a_i, which proves acyclicity.

Example 5.8 implies that the Bockstein construction kills f-torsion, while Proposition 5.4 implies that $L\eta_f(-)$ kills f-torsion as well. These two phenomenon turn out to be closely related:

Lemma 5.9. *For $K \in D(A)$, there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$L\eta_f(K) \otimes_A A/f \simeq (H^*(K/f), \beta_f)$$

Proof. Choose a representative $K^\bullet$ of K with f-torsionfree terms. Given $f^i\alpha \in \eta_f(K^\bullet)^i \subset f^i K^i$, the image of α in K^i/f is a cycle in $K^\bullet/f$, thus yielding a map $\eta_f(K^\bullet)^i \to H^i(K^\bullet/f)$. One can then show that construction defines a map $\eta_f(K^\bullet) \to (H^*(K/f), \beta_f)$ of complexes inducing an isomorphism $\eta_f(K^\bullet)/f \simeq (H^*(K/f), \beta_f)$ of complexes; see [BMS, Proposition 6.12] for details. □

Remark 5.10. The functor $K \mapsto (H^*(K/f), \beta_f)$ on $D(A)$ takes values in the *abelian* category of chain complexes of A-modules. Using this observation and Lemma 5.9, one can show that the functor $K \mapsto L\eta_f(K) \otimes_A A/f$ on $D(A)$ also takes values in the abelian category of chain complexes. This observation will be useful in the sequel.

Remark 5.11. The functor $L\eta_f(-) : D(A) \to D(A)$ is lax symmetric monoidal: this amounts to the assertion that if $M^\bullet$ and $N^\bullet$ are two K-flat complexes A-modules, then there is a natural map $\eta_f(M^\bullet) \otimes \eta_f(N^\bullet) \to \eta_f(M^\bullet \otimes N^\bullet)$; see [BMS, Proposition 6.7]. In particular, $L\eta_f(-)$ carries commutative algebras to commutative algebras, so $L\eta_f(K) \otimes_A A/f$ acquires the structure of a commutative algebra in $D(A/f)$. Moreover, if K is a commutative algebra in $D(A)$, then $(H^*(K/f), \beta_f)$ acquires the structure of a commutative differential graded algebra of A/f-modules via cup products. One can show that the isomorphism of Lemma 5.9 intertwines these commutative algebra structures.

We now prove a series of lemmas concerning the behaviour of $L\eta_f(-)$, most of which, except Lemma 5.14, are directly extracted from [BMS, §6]. First, observe that the formation of $L\eta_f(-)$ commutes with restriction of scalars:

Lemma 5.12. *Let $\alpha : A \to B$ be a map of rings such that $\alpha(f)$ is a regular element. For any $M \in D(B)$, there is a natural identification*

$$\alpha_*\big(L\eta_{\alpha(f)}(M)\big) \simeq L\eta_f(\alpha_* M).$$

Proof. This immediate from the definition of $L\eta_f$ and the fact that a B-module is $\alpha(f)$-torsionfree if and only if it is f-torsionfree when regarded as an A-module. □

Likewise, it composes well with other operations of a similar nature:

Lemma 5.13. *Let $g \in A$ be a regular element. Then there is a natural identification $L\eta_f(L\eta_g(M)) \simeq L\eta_{fg}(M)$ for any $M \in D(A)$.*

Proof. This follows immediately by writing down the definition of either side. □

The next lemma gives a criterion for the $L\eta_f(-)$ functor to commute with reduction modulo a different element:

Lemma 5.14. *Say $f, g \in A$ form a regular sequence. For any $K \in D(A)$, there is a natural map*

$$\alpha : L\eta_f(K) \otimes_A A/g \to L\eta_f(K \otimes_A A/g).$$

This map is an isomorphism if $H^*(K \otimes_A A/f)$ has no g-torsion.

The first version of [BMS15] had an erroneous comment to the effect that the conclusion of this lemma holds without any hypothesis on $H^*(K/f)$.

Proof. The canonical map $L\eta_f(K) \to L\eta_f(K \otimes_A A/g)$ induces α by base change (as the target is an A/g-complex). For the second part, as the statement is clearly true after inverting f, it suffices to show that $\alpha \otimes_A A/f$ is an isomorphism. Now note that the left side reduces modulo f as follows:

$$(L\eta_f(K) \otimes_A A/g) \otimes_A A/f \simeq (L\eta_f(K) \otimes_A A/f) \otimes_A A/g \simeq (H^*(K \otimes_A A/f), \beta_f) \otimes_A A/g.$$

Likewise, the right side reduces modulo f to give:

$$L\eta_f(K \otimes_A A/g) \otimes_A A/f \simeq (H^*(K \otimes_A A/g \otimes_A A/f), \beta_f) \simeq (H^*(K \otimes_A A/f \otimes_A A/g), \beta_f).$$

One can check that the under these identifications, the map $\alpha \otimes_A A/f$ is the obvious one. The claim now follows by applying the following observation to $M = K \otimes_A A/f$: if $M \in D(A)$ satisfies $H^i(M)[g] = H^{i+1}(M)[g] = 0$, then $H^i(M)/g \simeq H^i(M) \otimes_A A/g \simeq H^i(M \otimes_A A/g)$. □

In the sequel, we will repeatedly apply $L\eta_f$ to certain Koszul complexes built from endomorphisms of modules that enjoy good divisibility properties with respect to f. Thus, for later use, we record the following fundamental example:

Example 5.15. Fix elements $g_1, ..., g_d \in A$, and let M be an f-torsionfree A-module. Let $K := K(M; g_1, ..., g_d)$. The complex $L\eta_f(K)$ can be understood directly in the following two situations:

(1) If f divides each g_i, then $L\eta_f(K)$ is identified with $K(M; \frac{g_1}{f}, ..., \frac{g_d}{f})$. When $d = 1$, this follows immediately from the definition of η_f. The general case is deduced by induction; see [BMS, Lemma 7.9] for details.

(2) If some g_i divides f, then $L\eta_f(K) \simeq 0$. Indeed, we may assume (after relabelling) that $g_1 \mid f$. In this case, each $H^i(K)$ is killed by g_1, and thus by f. Proposition 5.4 then implies that $L\eta_f(K) \simeq 0$.

The next lemma provides a handy criterion for mapping into $L\eta_f(M)$ for a suitable M:

Lemma 5.16. *Let $K \in D^{\leq 1}(A)$ and $M \in D^{\geq 0}(A)$ with $H^0(M)$ being f-torsionfree. Fix a map $\alpha : K \to M$.*

(1) The canonical map $L\eta_f(M) \to M$ induces an isomorphism $H^1(L\eta_f M) \simeq fH^1(M)$.

(2) *The map α factors as $K \xrightarrow{\alpha'} L\eta_f(M) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{can}} M$ if and only the map $H^1(\alpha) : H^1(K) \to H^1(M)$ has image contained in $fH^1(M)$. Moreover, such a factorization is unique if it exists.*

Proof. Any map $K \to M$ factors uniquely as a map $K \to \tau^{\leq 1}M$. As $L\eta$ commutes with truncations, we may assume $M \in D^{[0,1]}(A)$ with $H^0(M)$ being f-torsionfree. In this case, one readily constructs a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^1(M \otimes_A A/f)[-2] \simeq H^1(M)/fH^1(M)[-2] & \longrightarrow & L\eta_f(M) & \xrightarrow{a} & M \\ \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^1(M \otimes_A A/f)[-2] \simeq H^1(M)/fH^1(M)[-2] & \longrightarrow & H^0(M \otimes_A A/f)[0] & \xrightarrow{b} & M \otimes_A A/f \end{array}$$

with exact rows, where the map $L\eta_f(M) \to H^0(M \otimes_A A/f)[0]$ comes from Lemma 5.9, and the other maps are the obvious ones. The long exact sequence for the top row then gives (1). Now fix a map $\alpha : K \to M$. Such a map factors through a if and only if the induced map $K \to M \otimes_A A/f$ factors through b, and the same holds true for the set of choices of such a factorization. The long exact sequence obtained by applying $\mathrm{Hom}(K, -)$ to the bottom row then shows that α factors through a if and only the induced map $K \xrightarrow{\alpha} M \to H^1(M)/fH^1(M)[-1]$ is the 0 map, and that the set of choices for such a factorization is controlled by the set of maps $K \to H^1(M)/fH^1(M)[-2]$; as $K \in D^{\leq 1}$, the latter is trivial, and the former means exactly that the map $H^1(\alpha)$ has image in $fH^1(M)$, so we are done. □

The $L\eta_f(-)$ functor behaves well with respect to complete objects:

Lemma 5.17. *Fix some finitely generated ideal $I \subset A$, and some $K \in D(A)$ such that K is I-adically complete. Then $L\eta_f(K)$ is also I-adically complete.*

Proof. We must check that $H^i(L\eta_f(K))$ is I-adically complete for all i. But $H^i(L\eta_f(K)) = H^i(K)/H^i(K)[f]$. Now I-adically complete A-modules form an abelian category inside all A-modules and are closed under kernels, cokernels, and images; the claim follows immediately since a complex I-adically complete if and only if its homology groups are so. □

On bounded complexes, the $L\eta_f(-)$ is a bounded distance away from the identity:

Lemma 5.18. *Assume $K \in D^{[0,d]}(A)$ with $H^0(K)$ being f-torsionfree. Then there are natural maps $L\eta_f(K) \to K$ and $K \to L\eta_f(K)$ whose composition in either direction is f^d.*

Proof. We may represent K by a chain complex $M^\bullet$ with M^i being f-torsionfree, and $M^i = 0$ for $i \notin [0, d]$. There is then an obvious inclusion $\eta_f(M^\bullet) \subset M^\bullet$. Moreover, it is also clear that multiplication of f^d on either complex factors over this inclusion, proving the claim. □

6. The complex $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}}$

The goal of this section is to attach a perfect complex $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}} \in D_{qc}(\mathfrak{X})$ to a smooth formal scheme $\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C$ such that the cohomology sheaves of $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ are given by differential forms; this complex will be responsible for the Hodge-Tate specialization of the cohomology theory $R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X})$ of Theorem 2.26, as alluded to in Remark 2.29.

We begin in §6.1 with some calculations of the complex $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ for small $\mathfrak{X}$. These calculations are then leveraged to define and prove basic properties of $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ in §6.2; notably, the calculation of the cohomology sheaves (in the almost sense) is given in Proposition 6.10.

6.1. **Some calculations with framings.** We begin with a description of the structure of $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ for a formal torus:

Lemma 6.1. *Fix an integer $d \geq 0$, and let $\widetilde{\Omega}_{P^d} := L\eta_{\epsilon_p - 1} R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, P^d_\infty)$. The canonical map induces an isomorphism*

$$P^d \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} L\eta_{\epsilon_p-1} R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, \mathcal{O}_C) \to L\eta_{\epsilon_p-1} R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, R^{\square}_\infty) =: \widetilde{\Omega}^{P^d}.$$

In particular, $H^1(\widetilde{\Omega}_{P^d})$ is free of rank d, and cup products induce isomorphisms $\wedge^i H^1(\widetilde{\Omega}_{P^d}) \simeq H^i(\widetilde{\Omega}_{P^d})$ for all i.

Proof. Lemma 4.6 (2) and (5) give

$$R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, P^d_\infty) \simeq \left(R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, \mathbf{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} P^d \right) \oplus E$$

where E is some $\mathcal{O}_C$-complex with homology killed by $\epsilon_p - 1$. Applying $L\eta_{\epsilon_p - 1}$ and using Proposition 5.4, we get

$$L\eta_{\epsilon_p-1} R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, P^d_\infty) \simeq P^d \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} L\eta_{\epsilon_p-1} R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, \mathcal{O}_C). \tag{9}$$

By the Koszul presentation for the group cohomology of Δ^d, the object $R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, \mathcal{O}_C)$ is calculated by the complex $M := \oplus_i \wedge^i (\mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus d})[-i]$. Applying $L\eta_{\epsilon_p - 1}$ to M produces the subcomplex

$$L\eta_{\epsilon_p-1}(M) := \left(\oplus_i (\epsilon_p - 1)^i \wedge^i (\mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus d})[-i] \right) \hookrightarrow \left(\oplus_i \wedge^i (\mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus d})[-i] \right) =: M.$$

The left side is easily seen to be $\oplus_i \wedge^i ((\epsilon_p - 1)\mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus d})[-i]$ by the definition of $L\eta$; this proves all claims by flat base change along $\mathcal{O}_C \to P^d$. □

The previous calculation passes up along a framing as well:

Corollary 6.2. *Let $\square : P^d \to R$ be a framing, and let $\widetilde{\Omega}_R := L\eta_{\epsilon_p - 1} R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, R^{\square}_\infty)$. The canonical map gives an isomorphism*

$$R \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} L\eta_{\epsilon_p-1} R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, \mathcal{O}_C) \to L\eta_{\epsilon_p-1} R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, R^{\square}_\infty) =: \widetilde{\Omega}_R$$

In particular, $H^1(\widetilde{\Omega}_R)$ is a free of rank d, and cup products induce isomorphisms $\wedge^i H^1(\widetilde{\Omega}_R) \simeq H^i(\widetilde{\Omega}_R)$ for all i.

Proof. Applying flat base change for $R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, -)$ and $L\eta_{\epsilon_p - 1}$ along the flat map $\square$, we see that

$$M \simeq R \otimes_{P^d} L\eta_{\epsilon_p-1} R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, P^d_\infty).$$

Lemma 6.1 then finishes the proof. □

Remark 6.3. The proof above identifies $H^1(\widetilde{\Omega}_R)$ with $(\epsilon_p - 1) H^1_{cont s}(\Delta^d, R^{\square}_\infty)$.

6.2. **Almost local results without framings.** We now give the definition of $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ in general, and prove the comparison with differential forms; all calculations in this section, especially Proposition 6.10 and the following discussion, should be interpreted in the almost sense.

Let $\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C$ be a smooth formal scheme with generic fibre X, and let $\nu : \mathrm{Shv}(X_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}) \to \mathrm{Shv}(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{Zar}})$ be the nearby cycles map from §4.2. Then we define:

Definition 6.4. Let $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}} := L\eta_{\epsilon_p - 1}(R\nu_* \widehat{\mathcal{O}^+_X}) \in D(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$.

Remark 6.5. As we see below, $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is a perfect complex on $\mathfrak{X}$ whose cohomology groups are given by differential forms on X (up to twists). This complex is not always a direct sum of its cohomology sheaves: a concrete obstruction is the failure of $\mathfrak{X}$ to be liftable along the square-zero thickening $A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\ker(\theta)^2 \to \mathcal{O}_C$ (see [BMS, Remark 8.4]). It would be interesting to find a direct construction of $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ that does not pass through the generic fibre and p-adic Hodge theory. In particular, it is not clear to the author if a variant of $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ exists when the base field is discretely valued.

To identify this complex, recall the following result of Fontaine [Fon82, Theorem 1], [Bei12, §1.3]:

Theorem 6.6 (Fontaine). *The $\mathcal{O}_C$-module $T_p(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{O}_C/\mathbf{Z}_p})$ is free of rank 1. Moreover, the map $d\log : \mu_{p^\infty}(\mathcal{O}_C) \to \Omega^1_{\mathcal{O}_C/\mathbf{Z}_p}$ induces, on passage to Tate modules, an injective map*

$$\mathcal{O}_C(1) := \mathbf{Z}_p(1) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_C \to T_p(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{O}_C/\mathbf{Z}_p})$$

with cokernel is killed by $(\epsilon_p - 1)$.

Fontaine's calculation permits the introduction of a slight modification of the Tate twist as follows:

Definition 6.7. For any $\mathcal{O}_C$-module M and $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, write $M\{n\} := M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} \mathcal{O}_C\{n\}$, where $\mathcal{O}_C\{1\} := T_p(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{O}_C/\mathbf{Z}_p})$, and $\mathcal{O}_C\{n\} = \mathcal{O}_C\{1\}^{\otimes n}$.

Remark 6.8. Let's view $\underline{\epsilon} \in \mathbf{Z}_p(1)$ as a generator. The map $d\log : \mathbf{Z}_p(1) \to \mathcal{O}_C\{1\}$ then defines an element $d\log([\underline{\epsilon}]) \in \mathcal{O}_C\{1\}$. This element is (uniquely) divisible by $\epsilon_p - 1$. In fact, the compatible system

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon_p - 1} \cdot d\log(\epsilon_{p^n}) \in \Omega^1_{\mathcal{O}_C/\mathbf{Z}_p}[p^n]$$

of differential forms provides a generator $\omega \in \mathcal{O}_C\{1\}$ such that $(\epsilon_p - 1) \cdot \omega = d\log([\underline{\epsilon}]$; we will denote the dual generator of $\mathcal{O}_C\{-1\}$ by $\omega^\vee$ in the sequel.

Remark 6.9. Consider the maps $\mathbf{Z}_p \xrightarrow{a} \overline{\mathbf{Z}_p} \xrightarrow{b} \mathcal{O}_C$, where $\overline{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ is the integral closure of $\mathbf{Z}_p$ in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p} \subset C$. The map a is an inductive limit of lci and generically étale maps; using this, one checks that $L_a \simeq \Omega^1_a$. The map b can also be shown to be ind-lci using alterations. Moreover, $b[\frac{1}{p}]$ is an extension of characteristic 0 fields, and hence is ind-smooth. It follows that $L_b \simeq \Omega^1_b$. Combining these, we learn that the canonical map $L_{\mathcal{O}_C/\mathbf{Z}_p} \to \Omega^1_{\mathcal{O}_C/\mathbf{Z}_p}$ is an isomorphism. Moreover, since $\mathcal{O}_C$ is stable under taking p-th roots, the $\mathcal{O}_C$-module $\Omega^1_{\mathcal{O}_C/\mathbf{Z}_p}$ is p-divisible. Thus, by passage to p-adic completions, we get an isomorphism

$$\widehat{L_{\mathcal{O}_C/\mathbf{Z}_p}} \simeq T_p(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{O}_C/\mathbf{Z}_p})[1] \simeq \mathcal{O}_C\{1\}[1].$$

Using Remark 2.8, the same holds true if $\mathcal{O}_C$ is replaced by any perfectoid algebra. This perspective also provides another description of $\mathcal{O}_C\{1\}$ as follows. One has $\widehat{L_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mathbf{Z}_p}} \simeq 0$ since A_{inf}/p is perfect, so the transitivity triangle for $\mathbf{Z}_p \to A_{\mathrm{inf}} \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{O}_C$ collapses to give an isomorphism

$$\widehat{L_{\mathcal{O}_C/A_{\mathrm{inf}}}} \simeq \widehat{L_{\mathcal{O}_C/\mathbf{Z}_p}}.$$

The right side is $\mathcal{O}_C\{1\}[1]$, while the left side is $\ker(\theta)/\ker(\theta)^2$ by Lemma 2.14. Thus, we get

$$\mathcal{O}_C\{1\} \simeq \ker(\theta)/\ker(\theta)^2).$$

The resulting map $\ker(\theta) \to T_p(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{O}_C/\mathbf{Z}_p})$ carries $\mu \in \ker(\theta)$ to $d\log([\underline{\epsilon}])$, and thus $\xi \in \ker(\theta)$ maps to ω.

We arrive at our promised result identifying the cohomology sheaves of $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}}$:

Proposition 6.10. *There is a canonical almost isomorphism $\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C}\{-1\} \overset{a}{\simeq} \mathcal{H}^1(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}})$. Via cup products, this induces an almost isomorphism*

$$\Omega^i_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C}\{-i\} \overset{a}{\simeq} \mathcal{H}^i(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}}).$$

Proof. We first summarize the strategy informally: by a local calculation, we reduce to showing the claim about $\mathcal{H}^1$. In this case, using the vanishing of the cotangent complex of perfectoids, we construct a natural map $L_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathbf{Z}_p}\{-1\}[-1] \to R\nu_*\widehat{\mathcal{O}^+_X}$. Finally, we check that this map induces the desired isomorphism on $\mathcal{H}^1$ using the criterion in Lemma 5.16.

In more detail, thanks to Corollary 6.2, it suffices to construct the canonical isomorphism $\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C}\{-1\} \overset{a}{\simeq} \mathcal{H}^1(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}})$. For this, observe that the map $\nu : (X_{\text{pro\'et}}, \mathcal{O}_X^+) \to (\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Zar}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ of ringed topoi induces a pullback map

$$\nu^* : L_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathbf{Z}_p} \to R\nu_* \widehat{L_{\mathcal{O}_X^+/\mathbf{Z}_p}},$$

where the completion on the right is p-adic. As $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_X^+}$ is locally perfectoid on $X_{\text{pro\'et}}$ (see Theorem 4.12), Remark 6.9 gives

$$\widehat{L_{\mathcal{O}_X^+/\mathbf{Z}_p}} \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{O}_X^+}\{1\}[1].$$

Thus, after twisting, the map ν^* above induces a map

$$\alpha : L_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathbf{Z}_p}\{-1\}[-1] \to R\nu_* \widehat{\mathcal{O}_X^+}.$$

We will check that this map factors uniquely through $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}} := L\eta_{\epsilon_p - 1} R\nu_* \widehat{\mathcal{O}_X^+} \to R\nu_* \widehat{\mathcal{O}_X^+}$, and that the induced map

$$\alpha' : L_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathbf{Z}_p}\{-1\}[-1] \to \widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}}$$

induces the desired almost isomorphism on $\mathcal{H}^1$. Now α satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 5.16, so this reduces to checking $\mathcal{H}^1(\alpha)$ induces an almost isomorphism between $\mathcal{H}^1(L_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C}\{-1\}[-1]) = \Omega^1_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C}\{-1\}$ and $(\epsilon_p - 1)R^1\nu_* \widehat{\mathcal{O}_X^+}$. This is a local assertion, so we may assume $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{Spf}(R)$ is small. Using base change for continuous group cohomology as in Corollary 6.2, we may even assume $R = P^d$. Thus, it suffices to check that $\Omega^1_{P^d/\mathcal{O}_C} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C\{-1\}$ maps isomorphically to $(\epsilon_p - 1)H^1_{cont s}(\Delta^d, P^d_\infty)$ under the map α above (using Theorem 4.14 to almost calculate $R^i\nu_* \widehat{\mathcal{O}_X^+}$); note that both are free P^d-modules of rank d (by Lemma 6.1 for the latter). Moreover, both sides are graded by $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]^d$, and the map respects the grading by functoriality. Thus, it suffices to show the claim for each graded component. Using this observation, one reduces to the case $d = 1$, i.e., we must check that the generator $d\log(t) \otimes \omega^\vee \in \Omega^1_{P^1/\mathcal{O}_C}\{-1\}$ (with notation as in Remark 6.8) is carried isomorphically by α onto the generator $(\epsilon_p - 1) \cdot t^0 \in (\epsilon_p - 1)H^1_{cont s}(\Delta, P^1_\infty)$ coming from Lemma 6.1. Untwisting, we must check that $\alpha\{1\}$ carries $d\log(t) \in \Omega^1_{P^1/\mathcal{O}_C}$ to $(\epsilon_p - 1) \cdot t^0 \otimes \omega \in H^1_{cont s}(\Delta, P^1_\infty) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C\{1\}$. This simplifies to

$$(\epsilon_p - 1) \cdot t^0 \otimes \omega = t^0 \otimes (\epsilon_p - 1) \cdot \omega = t^0 \otimes d\log([\underline{\epsilon}]).$$

In particular, this element lies in the subspace

$$H^1_{cont s}(\Delta, \mathbf{Z}_p \cdot t^0) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p(1) \subset H^1_{cont s}(\Delta, P^1_\infty) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C\{1\}.$$

Thus, we must check that $\alpha\{1\}$ carries $d\log(t)$ to $t^0 \otimes d\log(\underline{\epsilon}) \in H^1_{cont s}(\Delta, \mathbf{Z}_p) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p(1)$. This follows from unwinding the definition of α, and using that the generator $g \in \Delta$ (coming from the choice of $\underline{\epsilon}$) satisfies the following transformation law when acting on $L_{P^1_\infty/\mathbf{Z}_p}$:

$$(g-1)(d\log(t)) = g(d\log(t)) - d\log(t) = d\log([\underline{\epsilon}] \cdot t) - d\log(t) = d\log([\underline{\epsilon}]),$$

where the last equality uses that $d\log$ carries multiplication to addition; see [BMS, Proposition 8.17]. □

Remark 6.11. Set $R = P^1$. Proposition 6.10 gives a canonical isomorphism

$$\Omega^1_{R/\mathcal{O}_C}\{-1\} \simeq R \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} (\epsilon_p - 1)H^1_{cont s}(\Delta, \mathcal{O}_C).$$

Untwisting, this may viewed as a canonical isomorphism

$$\Omega^1_{R/\mathcal{O}_C} \simeq R \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} (\epsilon_p - 1)H^1_{cont s}(\Delta, \mathcal{O}_C\{1\}).$$

Recalling that $(\epsilon_p - 1)\mathcal{O}_C\{1\}$ is is naturally identified with $\mathcal{O}_C(1)$, we can rewrite this as

$$\Omega^1_{R/\mathcal{O}_C} \simeq R \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} H^1_{cont s}(\Delta, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)).$$

Unwinding the proof above shows that this isomorphism carries $d\log(t) \in \Omega^1_{R/\mathcal{O}_C}$ to the element $1 \otimes \mathrm{can}$, where $\mathrm{can} : \Delta \simeq \mathbf{Z}_p(1)$ is the natural identification, viewed as a 1-cocycle on Δ valued in $\mathbf{Z}_p(1)$.

Remark 6.12. The proof of Proposition 6.10 gives a slightly finer statement. To explain this, write $\widetilde{\Omega}^{pre}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ for the presheaf of complexes (in the ∞-categorical sense[11]) given by associating to an open subset $\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathfrak{X}$, the complex $L\eta_{\epsilon_p - 1} R\Gamma_{\text{proét}}(U, \widehat{\mathcal{O}^+_U})$, where $U = \mathfrak{U}_\eta$ is the generic fibre. The sheafification of $\widetilde{\Omega}^{pre}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is (by definition) the complex $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}}$. In particular, for each $\mathfrak{U}$ as before, there is a canonical map

$$\widetilde{\Omega}^{pre}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathfrak{U}) := L\eta_{\epsilon_p - 1} R\Gamma_{\text{proét}}(U, \widehat{\mathcal{O}^+_U}) \to \widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathfrak{U}) := R\Gamma(\mathfrak{U}, \widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}}).$$

The proof above shows that this map is an almost isomorphism if $\mathfrak{U}$ is small: the cohomology presheaves of $\widetilde{\Omega}^{pre}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ were almost identified as locally free sheaves on $\mathfrak{X}$ when restricted to small affines. In other words, the sheafification process (after applying $L\eta$) is not necessary if one restricts attention to small opens in $\mathfrak{X}$ and works in the almost world. For notational convenience, given a small open $\mathfrak{U} := \mathrm{Spf}(R) \subset \mathfrak{X}$, we will write $\widetilde{\Omega}_R$ for the common value of either complex displayed above in the almost world. Note that this value is p-adically complete by Lemma 5.17.

Remark 6.13. The almost isomorphism constructed in Proposition 6.10 is actually an isomorphism on the nose when $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{Spf}(R)$ is small, see [BMS, §8]. In particular, the complex $\widetilde{\Omega}_R$ described in Remark 6.12 is a perfect complex of R-modules on the nose. We provide a slightly different explanation from [BMS] for why this is so, but this is not relevant for Theorem 1.1. First, one observes the following abstract statement about complexes (roughly analogous to [BMS, Lemma 8.11], but cast in a form more suitable for applications later):

Lemma 6.14. *Let $K \to L \to Q$ be an exact triangle in $D(\mathcal{O}_C)$ with Q being almost zero. Fix some $f \in \mathfrak{m} \subset \mathcal{O}_C$. Assume that $H^*(K/f)$ has no almost zero elements, i.e., all maps $k \to H^*(K/f)$ are zero. Then $L\eta_f(K) \simeq L\eta_f(L)$.*

Proof. The hypothesis implies that f acts trivially on Q, so $Q/f \simeq Q \oplus Q[1]$. Moreover, the boundary maps on cohomology for the triangle $K/f \to L/f \to Q/f$ are 0 by the hypothesis on $H^*(K/f)$. This gives a short exact sequence

$$0 \to (H^*(K/f), \beta_f) \to (H^*(L/f), \beta_f) \to (H^*(Q/f), \beta_f) \to 0$$

of the Bockstein constructions. Now it is easy to see that $(H^*(Q/f), \beta_f)$ is acyclic since f acted trivially on Q. Thus, the first map above is a quasi-isomorphism, and hence $L\eta_f(K)/f \to L\eta_f(L)/f$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Also, since Q is almost zero, we trivially have $L\eta_f(K)[\frac{1}{f}] \simeq L\eta_f(L)[\frac{1}{f}]$, so we are done. □

Now fix a framed algebra $(R, \square)$ (with $\mathfrak{X}$ and X as above). Let $K = R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, R^\square_\infty)$, let $L = R\Gamma(X_{\text{proét}}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}^+_X})$, and let $K \to L$ be the natural almost isomorphism. It is easy to see that $H^*(K/(\epsilon_p - 1))$ has no almost zero elements: as $P^d \to R^\square$ is finite étale, this reduces to the analogous question for P^d itself, which can be checked explicitly[12] using Lemma 4.6 (3). The previous lemma then shows that $L\eta_{\epsilon_p - 1}(K) \simeq L\eta_{\epsilon_p - 1}(L)$. Moreover, from the proof of Proposition 6.10, it is immediate that $\Omega^i_{R/\mathcal{O}_C}\{-i\} \simeq H^i(L\eta_{\epsilon_p - 1}(K))$, which gives the promised description.

[11] More classically, if one considers $\tilde{\Omega}^{pre}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ as an object of the derived category of presheaves of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$-modules on the site $\mathfrak{X}^{sm}_{\text{Zar}}$ of small affine opens $\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathfrak{X}$, then the argument outlined here proves that $\tilde{\Omega}^{pre}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ belongs to the derived category of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$-modules on $\mathfrak{X}^{sm}_{\text{Zar}}$, viewed as a full subcategory of the previous derived category.

[12] In more detail, Lemma 4.6 reduces us to checking that all $\mathcal{O}_C$-linear maps $k \to F \oplus \widehat{\oplus}_i \mathcal{O}_C/(t_i)$ are 0; here F is a topologically free $\mathcal{O}_C$-module, the completed sum is indexed by some set I, $t_i \in \mathcal{O}_C$ is some element dividing $\epsilon_p - 1$, and the completion is p-adic. As F is p-torsionfree, there are clearly no nonzero maps $k \to F$. Moreover, as each t_i divides $\epsilon_p - 1$, the completed direct sum coincides with the ordinary direct sum (as the latter is killed by $\epsilon_p - 1$). Thus, we are reduced to showing that all $\mathcal{O}_C$-linear maps $k \to \oplus_i \mathcal{O}_C/(t_i)$ are 0. The i-th component of such a map gives an element $a_i \in \mathcal{O}_C/(t_i)$ such that $f \cdot a = 0$ for each $f \in \mathfrak{m}$; considerations of valuations then show that $a_i = 0$, as wanted.

7. The complex $A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}$

The goal of this section is to define the complex $A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}$, and identify its Hodge-Tate and de Rham specializations in terms of $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ and the de Rham complex $\Omega^{\bullet}_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C}$ respectively. We begin in §7.1 by recording a calculation that will be extremely useful in the identification of the Hodge-Tate specialization. Armed with these calculations, we begin §7.2 by defining $A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}$ and quickly identifying the Hodge-Tate specialization in Proposition 7.5; the latter then permits us to also identify the de Rham specialization in Proposition 7.9, finishing this section.

7.1. Some calculations with framings.

The main calculation we need is:

Lemma 7.1. *Let $\square : P^d \to R$ be a framing. Then all homology groups of the complex*

$$K := R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, R^{\square}_{A_{\mathrm{inf}},\infty}) \otimes_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}} A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mu$$

are p-torsionfree.

Proof. The complex K is identified with $R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, R^{\square}_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mu,\infty})$. We have a base change square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P^d_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mu} & \longrightarrow & P^d_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mu,\infty} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R^{\square}_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mu} & \longrightarrow & R^{\square}_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mu,\infty} \end{array}$$

of commutative rings with the vertical maps being finite étale. Recall that there is Δ^d-action on $P^d_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mu,\infty}$ compatible with the trivial action on $P^d_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mu}$ along the top horizontal map. This induces a similar action on the bottom arrow. As the continuous group cohomology of Δ^d can be computed by a Koszul complex, we obtain

$$K \simeq R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, P^d_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mu,\infty}) \otimes_{P^d_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mu}} R^{\square}_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mu}.$$

As the map $P^d_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mu} \to R^{\square}_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mu}$ is finite étale, to show the previous complex has p-torsionfree homology groups, we may reduce to the case where $R = P^d$. Using the presentation given in Lemma 4.6, we get

$$R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, P^d_{A,\infty}) \simeq \left(R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta^d, \mathbf{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} P^d_A\right) \oplus \widehat{\bigoplus_{(a_1,\dots,a_d) \in \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]^d - \mathbf{Z}^d}} K(A; [\underline{\epsilon}^{a_1}] - 1, \dots, [\underline{\epsilon}^{a_d}] - 1).$$

Now each homology group of the first summand is a finite free $P^d_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\mu}$-module, and thus evidently has no p-torsion. The homology groups of the Koszul complexes appearing in the second summand above are finite direct sums of copies of $A_{\mathrm{inf}}/([\underline{\epsilon}^{a_i}] - 1)$ for $a_i \in \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ by Lemma 4.6 (3). Each of these is abstractly isomorphic to A_{inf}/μ via a suitable power of Frobenius, and hence has no p-torsion. We now get the desired conclusion using Lemma 7.2 below. □

The following was used above:

Lemma 7.2. *Let $K_i \in D(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ be a collection of complexes indexed by some set I. If each $H^*(K_i)$ is p-torsionfree, then the same is true for H^* of $\widehat{\oplus_i} K_i$.*

Proof. We first assume that each K_i is concentrated in degree 0. Thus, $K_i = M_i[0]$ for a flat $\mathbf{Z}_p$-module M_i. In this case, we have

$$K := \widehat{\oplus_i} K_i \simeq R\lim((\oplus_i M_i) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Z}/p^n) \simeq R\lim((\oplus_i M_i)/p^n) \simeq \lim((\oplus_i M_i)/p^n),$$

so K is discrete. Moreover, similar reasoning shows that $K \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}/p \simeq \oplus_i M_i/p$ is discrete, so K has no p-torsion, as wanted. In general, this reasoning shows that the functor $D(\mathbf{Z}_p)^I \to D(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ of taking completed direct sums is exact on the subcategory of $D(\mathbf{Z}_p)^I$ that is spanned by complexes $(K_i) \in D(\mathbf{Z}_p)^I$ such that $H^*(K_i)$ is p-torsionfree

for all i. Thus, one reduces the general case to the previous case by writing each $\mathbf{Z}_p$-complex as a direct sum of its cohomology groups. □

The previous calculation gives us the following identification:

Corollary 7.3. *Let $\square : P^d \to R$ be a framing. Then we have*

$$L\eta_\mu(R\Gamma_{cont}(\Delta^d, R^{\square}_{A_{\inf},\infty}))/\tilde{\xi} \simeq \tilde{\theta}_*\big(L\eta_{\epsilon_p-1}(R\Gamma_{cont}(\Delta^d, R^{\square}_{\infty}))\big).$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 7.1 and Lemma 5.14. □

7.2. **Almost local results without framings.** We have all the tools necessary to define and study $A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}$. Thus, let $\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C$ be a smooth formal scheme.

Definition 7.4. Set

$$A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}} := L\eta_\mu R\nu_* A_{\inf,X} \in D(\mathfrak{X}, A_{\inf}),$$

viewed as a commutative algebra object.

We begin by identifying the Hodge-Tate specialization of $A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}$:

Proposition 7.5. *There is a canonical almost isomorphism*

$$A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}/\tilde{\xi} \overset{a}{\simeq} \widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}},$$

where $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}} \in D(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ is viewed as an $A_{\inf}$-complex via the Hodge-Tate specialization $A_{\inf} \overset{\tilde{\theta}}{\to} \mathcal{O}_C \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.14, there is a canonical map

$$A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}/\tilde{\xi} = L\eta_\mu(R\nu_* A_{\inf,X})/\tilde{\xi} \to L\eta_\mu(R\nu_* A_{\inf,X}/\tilde{\xi}) \simeq \tilde{\theta}_* L\eta_{\tilde{\theta}(\mu)}(R\nu_* \widehat{\mathcal{O}^+_X}) =: \widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}},$$

where we use Lemma 5.12, applied to the map $A_{\inf} \overset{\tilde{\theta}}{\to} \mathcal{O}_C \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ with $f = \mu$, for the last identification. To show this map is an almost isomorphism, using the criterion in Lemma 5.14, it suffices to check that the cohomology sheaves of the $A_{\inf}/\mu$-complex $(R\nu_* A_{\inf,X})/\mu$ have no $\tilde{\xi}$-torsion in the almost sense. This is a local statement, so we may assume $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{Spf}(R)$ is small; fix a framing $\square : P^d \to R$. The global sections of $(R\nu_* A_{\inf,X})/\mu$ are then almost identified with $R\Gamma_{cont}(\Delta^d, R^{\square}_{A_{\inf}/\mu,\infty})$ by Theorem 4.14, so it suffices to check that the cohomology groups of this complex have no $\tilde{\xi}$-torsion. But $\tilde{\xi} = p$ in $A_{\inf}/\mu$, so the result follows from Lemma 7.1. □

Remark 7.6. By Frobenius twisting, one can rewrite the identification in Proposition 7.5 as

$$\big(L\eta_{\phi^{-1}(\mu)}(R\nu_* A_{\inf,X})\big)/\xi \overset{a}{\simeq} \widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}},$$

where $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}} \in D(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ is viewed as an $A_{\inf}$-complex via $A_{\inf} \overset{\theta}{\to} \mathcal{O}_C \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$.

Remark 7.7. Using an analog of the argument given in Remark 6.12, together with the observation that a presheaf of $A_{\inf}$-complexes (in the ∞-categorical sense) on the site of small opens $U \subset \mathfrak{X}$ that takes on ξ-adically complete values and is a sheaf modulo ξ is already a sheaf, one checks the following: for $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{Spf}(R)$ small equipped with a framing $\square : P^d \to R$, the complex $A\Omega_R := R\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}})$ is almost calculated by $L\eta_\mu R\Gamma_{cont}(\Delta^d, R^{\square}_{A_{\inf},\infty})$. In particular, this value is (p,μ)-adically complete by Lemma 5.17.

Remark 7.8. The discussion in Remark 7.7 shows that $A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}$ has non-zero cohomology sheaves only in degrees $0, ..., d = \dim(X)$, and that $\mathcal{H}^0(A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}})$ is torsion free (everything in the almost sense). Lemma 5.18 then shows that there is a canonical map $A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}} \to R\nu_* A_{\inf,X}$ with an inverse up to μ^d in the almost sense.

We can now describe the de Rham specialization:

Proposition 7.9. *There is a canonical almost isomorphism*

$$A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}/\xi \overset{a}{\simeq} \Omega^{\bullet}_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C},$$

where the de Rham complex $\Omega^{\bullet}_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C} \in D(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_C)$ *is viewed as an* A_{inf}*-complex via* $A_{\mathrm{inf}} \overset{\theta}{\to} \mathcal{O}_C$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.13, we have a natural identification

$$A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}} := L\eta_{\mu}(R\nu_* A_{\mathrm{inf},X}) = L\eta_{\xi}(L\eta_{\phi^{-1}(\mu)}(R\nu_* A_{\mathrm{inf},X})).$$

Write $M = L\eta_{\phi^{-1}(\mu)}(R\nu_* A_{\mathrm{inf},X})$ for notational ease. By Lemma 5.9, this gives an identification

$$A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}/\xi \simeq (\mathcal{H}^*(M/\xi), \beta_{\xi}).$$

Remark 7.6 and Proposition 6.10 give an almost isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}^i(M/\xi) \overset{a}{\simeq} \Omega^i_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C}\{-i\},$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$-modules are viewed as A_{inf}-modules via $A_{\mathrm{inf}} \overset{\theta}{\to} \mathcal{O}_C \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$. Recall from Construction 5.6: the i-th term of the Bockstein complex $(\mathcal{H}^*(M/\xi), \beta_{\xi})$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H}^i(M \otimes_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}} \xi^i A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\xi^{i+1} A_{\mathrm{inf}}) \simeq \mathcal{H}^i(M/\xi \otimes_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\xi} \xi^i A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\xi^{i+1} A_{\mathrm{inf}}) \simeq \mathcal{H}^i(M/\xi)\{i\}.$$

Thus, $A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}/\xi$ is canonically (i.e., as an object of the abelian category of chain complexes, see Remark 5.10) almost identified with a commutative differential graded algebra (cdga) of the form

$$D := \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} \to \Omega^1_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C} \to \Omega^2_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C} \to \cdots,$$

with the differentials coming from β_{ξ}. To see that the differential coincides with the de Rham differential, we may work locally. Thus, assume $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{Spf}(R)$ for a framed $\mathcal{O}_C$-algebra $\square : P^d \to R$. As differential forms are local for the étale topology, we may assume $R = P^d$. By multiplicativity, we may even assume $d = 1$, i.e., $R = \mathcal{O}_C\langle t^{\pm 1}\rangle$. In this case, the global sections of M are almost given by

$$N := L\eta_{\phi^{-1}(\mu)} R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta, R^{\square}_{A_{\mathrm{inf}},\infty}).$$

Recall that

$$R\Gamma_{cont s}(\Delta, R^{\square}_{A_{\mathrm{inf}},\infty}) \simeq \widehat{\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]}} K(A_{\mathrm{inf}}; [\underline{\epsilon}^a] - 1).$$

Note that if $a \in \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{p}] - \mathbf{Z}$, then $\phi^{-1}(\mu) := [\underline{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{p}}] - 1$ is divisible by $[\underline{\epsilon}^a] - 1$, and thus the summand above corresponding to a is killed by application of $L\eta_{\phi^{-1}(\mu)}(-)$, thanks to Example 5.15. For $a \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have $([\underline{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{p}}] - 1) \mid [\underline{\epsilon}^a] - 1$. By Example 5.15, we can thus write

$$N = \widehat{\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbf{Z}}} K(A_{\mathrm{inf}}; \frac{[\underline{\epsilon}^a] - 1}{[\underline{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{p}}] - 1}).$$

For $a \in \mathbf{Z}$, the element $\frac{[\underline{\epsilon}^a]-1}{[\underline{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{p}}]-1}$ is divisible by $\xi := \frac{[\underline{\epsilon}]-1}{[\underline{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{p}}]-1}$, and thus becomes 0 modulo ξ. This yields

$$N/\xi \simeq \widehat{\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbf{Z}}} K(A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\xi; 0). \tag{10}$$

Proposition 7.5 (and also Remark 7.7) give a canonical identification $N/\xi \simeq \widetilde{\Omega}_R$. Unwrapping the construction, this identification works as follows:

(1) The element $t^a \in R = H^0(\widetilde{\Omega}_R)$ identifies with "1" in the (cohomological) degree 0 term of the Koszul complex $K(A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\xi, 0)$ above in (grading) degree a

(2) The element $t^a d\log(t) \otimes \omega^\vee \in \Omega^1_{R/\mathcal{O}_C} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C\{-1\} = H^1(\widetilde{\Omega}_R)$ identifies with "1" in the (cohomological) degree 1 term of the Koszul complex $K(A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\xi, 0)$ above in (grading) degree a (with ω as in Remark 6.8).

As the de Rham differential satisfies $d(t^a) = a \cdot t^a \cdot d\log(t)$, we are reduced to showing the following: if $K = K(A_{\mathrm{inf}}; \frac{[\underline{\epsilon}^a]-1}{[\underline{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{p}}]-1})$ for some $a \in \mathbf{Z}$, then the Bockstein

$$\beta_\xi : H^0(K/\xi) \to H^1(K/\xi)$$

is identified with multiplication by a. The element $1 \in H^0(K/\xi) = A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\xi$ is carried under β_ξ to the element

$$\Big(\frac{[\underline{\epsilon}^a]-1}{[\underline{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{p}}]-1}\Big)/\xi \cdot 1 = \Big(\frac{[\underline{\epsilon}^a]-1}{[\underline{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{p}}]-1}\Big)/\Big(\frac{[\underline{\epsilon}]-1}{[\underline{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{p}}]-1}\Big) \cdot 1 = \frac{[\underline{\epsilon}^a]-1}{[\underline{\epsilon}]-1} \cdot 1 \in H^1(K/\xi).$$

Now we have

$$\frac{[\underline{\epsilon}^a]-1}{[\underline{\epsilon}]-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{a-1} [\underline{\epsilon}]^i = a \in A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\xi,$$

so β_ξ is identified with multiplication by a, as wanted. □

Remark 7.10. Fix a smooth formal scheme $\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C$, and let X/T denote its base change to $T = \mathcal{O}_C/p$. Consider the two maps $a : A_{\mathrm{inf}} \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{O}_C \to \mathcal{O}_C/p$ and $b : A_{\mathrm{inf}} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\theta}} \mathcal{O}_C \to \mathcal{O}_C/p$. Since $\tilde{\theta} = \theta \circ \phi^{-1}$, we have the formula $a = \phi \circ b$, and hence we have

$$\phi_* a^* A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}} \simeq b^* A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}.$$

Using Proposition 7.9, the left side simplifies to $\phi_* \Omega^\bullet_{X/T}$. Using Proposition 7.5, the right side simplifies to $\tilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} \mathcal{O}_C/p$ in the almost sense. In particular, using Proposition 6.10, we get an almost isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}^i(\phi_* \Omega^\bullet_{X/T}) \simeq \Omega^i_{X/T} \otimes_T T\{-i\},$$

which is a variant of the Cartier isomorphism.

Remark 7.11. We continue the theme of Remark 6.13. Let $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{Spf}(R)$ be small, and fix a framing $\square : P^d \to R$. Then one can show that the canonical map

$$L\eta_\mu R\Gamma_{cont}(\Delta^d, R^{\square}_{A_{\mathrm{inf},\infty}}) \to L\eta_\mu R\Gamma(X_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}, A_{\mathrm{inf},X})$$

is an actual isomorphism, not just an almost isomorphism. This follows from [BMS, §9], and we explain an alternative shorter argument here; this is not relevant to the global applications in §8. To prove this, using a variant of the argument given in Remark 6.13 (with almost mathematics over A_{inf} replacing that over $\mathcal{O}_C$, and the element μ replacing $\epsilon_p - 1$), we reduce to checking that $\mathrm{Hom}_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}}(W(k), H^*(K/\mu)) = 0$ for $K = R\Gamma_{cont}(\Delta^d, R^{\square}_{A_{\mathrm{inf},\infty}})$. As in the proof of Lemma 7.1, this reduces to the case of P^d itself, where it can be checked by hand[13] using Lemma 4.6. In particular, if we write $A\Omega_R$ for the common value of either complex above, then Proposition 7.5 (combined with Remark 6.13) gives an actual isomorphism $A\Omega_R/\tilde{\xi} \simeq \widetilde{\Omega}_R$, while Proposition 7.9 gives an actual isomorphism $A\Omega_R/\xi \simeq \Omega^\bullet_{R/\mathcal{O}_C}$.

[13] In more detail, we must show that all A_{inf}-linear maps $W(k) \to F \oplus \widehat{\oplus}_i A_{\mathrm{inf}}/([\underline{\epsilon}^{a_i}] - 1)$ are 0; here F is a topologically free A_{inf}/μ-module, the p-adically completed direct sum is indexed by a set I, and $a_i \in \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{p}] - \mathbf{Z}$. Note that the target is derived completion of the corresponding non-completed direct sum by the argument in Lemma 7.1. By p-adic completeness of the target, it suffices to show the same vanishing when the target is replaced by its mod p^n reduction for all n. Moreover, by devissage, we may reduce to the case $n = 1$. In other words, we must show that there are no $\mathcal{O}_C^\flat$-linear maps $k \to \overline{F} \oplus \oplus_i \mathcal{O}_C^\flat/(t_i)$, where $\overline{F}$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_C^\flat$-module, and $t_i \in \mathcal{O}_C^\flat$ are some elements. This follows by the same argument given in Remark 6.13.

Remark 7.12. Consider the small algebra $R = P^1 = \mathcal{O}_C\langle t^{\pm 1}\rangle$. We have seen above (using Remark 7.11 to get rid of almost zero ambiguities) that the complex $A\Omega_R$ is described explicitly as follows:

$$A\Omega_R := \widehat{\bigoplus_{i\in\mathbf{Z}}}\Big(A_{\mathrm{inf}}\cdot t^i \xrightarrow{\frac{[\epsilon^i]-1}{[\epsilon]-1}} A_{\mathrm{inf}}\cdot t^i\Big).$$

Now recall that

$$[n]_q := \frac{q^n-1}{q-1}$$

is the standard *q-analog* of the integer n. Thus, setting $q = [\underline{\epsilon}]$, we can rewrite the preceding description more suggestively as

$$A\Omega_R := A_{\mathrm{inf}}\langle t^{\pm 1}\rangle \xrightarrow{\nabla_q} A_{\mathrm{inf}}\langle t^{\pm 1}\rangle dt.$$

Here dt is a formal variable such that $t^{-1}dt$ corresponds to t^0 in the previous presentation, and ∇_q is the q-analogue of the standard derivative, i.e.,

$$\nabla_q(t^n) = [n]_q t^{n-1}dt = \frac{q^n-1}{q-1}t^{n-1}\cdot dt.$$

More canonically, one can write

$$\nabla_q(f(t)) = \frac{f(qt)-f(t)}{qt-t}\cdot dt.$$

In other words, the complex $A\Omega_R$ can be viewed as a q-analog of the de Rham complex: setting $q = 1$ recovers the usual de Rham complex. More generally, a similar formula can be given for $A\Omega_R$ for any framed $\mathcal{O}_C$-algebra $(R, \square)$, see [BMS, Lemma 9.6]. This description has at least two advantages: (a) it is easy to write this complex directly in terms of $(R, \square)$ without any knowledge of p-adic Hodge theory, and (b) it makes sense in more general situations. Unfortunately, we do not know how to prove directly that this description is independent of the framing $\square$, up to quasi-isomorphism. Moreover, the connection to étale cohomology is very mysterious from this perspective. Conjectures about the expected properties of such q-de Rham complexes were formulated recently by Scholze [Sch16].

8. Global results

We now reap the global fruit of the work done so far. Thus, assume that C is a spherically complete, algebraically closed, nonarchimedean extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$. Let $\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C$ be a proper smooth formal scheme. The cohomology theory promised in Theorem 2.26 is constructed from the complex $A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}$ as follows:

Definition 8.1.

$$R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X}) := R\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}})_* \in D(A_{\mathrm{inf}}).$$

The main properties of this theory are:

Proposition 8.2. *One has the following:*

1. *$R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X})$ is a perfect A_{inf}-complex.*
2. *There is a canonical identification $R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X})\otimes_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}} A_{\mathrm{inf}}/\xi \simeq R\Gamma_{dR}(\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C)$.*
3. *There is a canonical identification $R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X})[\frac{1}{\mu}] \simeq R\Gamma(X_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}, \mathbf{Z}_p)\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} A_{\mathrm{inf}}[\frac{1}{\mu}]$.*
4. *There is a canonical identification $R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X})\otimes_{A_{\mathrm{inf}},\tilde{\theta}}\mathcal{O}_C \simeq R\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, \widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{X}})_*$. In particular, there is an E_2 spectral sequence*

$$E_2^{i,j} : H^i(\mathfrak{X}, \Omega^j_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C}\{-j\}) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X})\otimes_{A_{\mathrm{inf}},\tilde{\theta}}\mathcal{O}_C).$$

Proof. Using Remark 7.7, the complex $R\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}})$ is (p,μ)-adically complete in the almost sense. Moreover, by Proposition 7.9, the complex $R\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}})/\xi \simeq R\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}/\xi)$ is identified with $R\Gamma_{dR}(\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C)^a$ in $D_{comp}(\mathcal{O}_C)^a$. As the functor $K \mapsto K_*$ preserves complete objects and commutes with reduction modulo ξ, we learn that $R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X})$ is (p,μ)-adically complete, and $R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X})/\xi \simeq (R\Gamma_{dR}(\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C)^a)_*$. Since $\mathfrak{X}$ is proper, the complex $R\Gamma_{dR}(\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C)$ is perfect, and thus

$$R\Gamma_{dR}(\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C) \simeq (R\Gamma_{dR}(\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_C)^a)_*$$

by Lemma 3.10; this proves (2). Moreover, it shows that $R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X})$ is (p,μ)-adically complete, and is perfect modulo ξ. As A_{inf} is ξ-adically complete, this formally implies $R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X})$ is itself perfect, proving (1). For (3), by Remark 7.8, we have a natural map

$$R\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}) \to R\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, R\nu_* A_{\mathrm{inf},X})^a$$

in $D_{comp}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})^a$, and this map has an inverse up to μ^d. Now we also know

$$R\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, R\nu_* A_{\mathrm{inf},X}) \simeq R\Gamma(X_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}, A_{\mathrm{inf},X}) \simeq R\Gamma(X_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}, \mathbf{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} A_{\mathrm{inf}},$$

in $D_{comp}(A_{\mathrm{inf}})^a$, where the last isomorphism is Theorem 4.15. Applying $(-)_*$ to the map considered above, and using the preceding isomorphism, we get a map

$$R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X}) \to \left(\left(R\Gamma(X_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}, \mathbf{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} A_{\mathrm{inf}}\right)^a\right)_* \simeq R\Gamma(X_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}, \mathbf{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} A_{\mathrm{inf}},$$

which has an inverse up to μ^d; here we used Lemma 3.10 for the last isomorphism above. Inverting μ then gives (3). Finally, Proposition 7.5 gives the first part of (4) as reduction modulo $\tilde{\xi}$ commutes with application of $(-)_*$; for the spectral sequence, we use Proposition 6.10 and Remark 3.5. □

Remark 8.3. If one is willing to use Remark 7.11, then all results in this section are easily seen to be true (not merely almost so) without the assumption that C is spherically complete, provided one uses the complex $R\Gamma_A(\mathfrak{X}) := R\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, A\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}})$; note, if C is not spherically complete, we are not allowed to use Definition 8.1 in lieu of the preceding one, since Lemma 3.10 would not be true anymore.

References

[AG15a] Ahmed Abbes and Michel Gros. La suite spectrale de Hodge-Tate. 2015. Available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1509.03617. 12

[AG15b] Ahmed Abbes and Michel Gros. The p-adic Simpson correspondence. *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, 193, 2015. 15

[Bei12] A. Beilinson. p-adic periods and derived de Rham cohomology. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 25(3):715–738, 2012. 19, 24

[BM76] E. Bombieri and D. Mumford. Enriques' classification of surfaces in char. p. III. *Invent. Math.*, 35:197–232, 1976. 2

[BMS] Bhargav Bhatt, Matthew Morrow, and Peter Scholze. Integral p-adic Hodge theory. Available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1602.03148. 1, 2, 3, 9, 11, 12, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 24, 26, 27, 31, 32

[BMS15] Bhargav Bhatt, Matthew Morrow, and Peter Scholze. Integral p-adic Hodge theory: Announcement. *Math. Research Letters*, 22(6):1601–1612, 2015. 22

[BO78] Pierre Berthelot and Arthur Ogus. *Notes on crystalline cohomology*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1978. 20

[BS15] Bhargav Bhatt and Peter Scholze. The pro-étale topology for schemes. *Astérisque*, (369):99–201, 2015. 3

[Car08] Xavier Caruso. Conjecture de l'inertie modérée de Serre. *Invent. Math.*, 171(3):629–699, 2008. 1

[Fal88] Gerd Faltings. p-adic Hodge theory. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1(1):255–299, 1988. 2, 12, 18

[Fal99] Gerd Faltings. Integral crystalline cohomology over very ramified valuation rings. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12(1):117–144, 1999. 1, 12

[Fal02] Gerd Faltings. Almost étale extensions. *Astérisque*, (279):185–270, 2002. Cohomologies p-adiques et applications arithmétiques, II. 2, 12, 15, 18

[Fon94] Jean-Marc Fontaine. Le corps des périodes p-adiques. *Astérisque*, (223):59–111, 1994. With an appendix by Pierre Colmez, Périodes p-adiques (Bures-sur-Yvette, 1988). 5

[Fon82] Jean-Marc Fontaine. Formes différentielles et modules de Tate des variétés abéliennes sur les corps locaux. *Invent. Math.*, 65(3):379–409, 1981/82. 24

[GR03] Ofer Gabber and Lorenzo Ramero. *Almost ring theory*, volume 1800 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. 2, 13

[Hub96] Roland Huber. *Étale cohomology of rigid analytic varieties and adic spaces*. Aspects of Mathematics, E30. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1996. 1, 11, 19

[Ill79] Luc Illusie. Complexe de de Rham-Witt et cohomologie cristalline. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 12(4):501–661, 1979. 2

[Ked05] Kiran S. Kedlaya. More étale covers of affine spaces in positive characteristic. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 14(1):187–192, 2005. 15, 17

[Ked16] Kiran S. Kedlaya. Some ring-theoretic properties of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$. 2016. Available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1602.09016. 8, 14

[Mor16] Matthew Morrow. Integral p-adic Hodge theory - notes. 2016. Available at http://www.math.uni-bonn.de/people/morrow/. 2

[Rob00] Alain M. Robert. *A course in p-adic analysis*, volume 198 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. 11

[Sch12] Peter Scholze. Perfectoid spaces. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 116:245–313, 2012. 2, 4, 8, 15

[Sch13a] Peter Scholze. p-adic Hodge theory for rigid-analytic varieties. *Forum Math. Pi*, 1:e1, 77, 2013. 2, 15, 18

[Sch13b] Peter Scholze. Perfectoid spaces: a survey. In *Current developments in mathematics 2012*, pages 193–227. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013. 12

[Sch16] Peter Scholze. Canonical q-deformations in arithmetic geometry. 2016. 32

[SW] Peter Scholze and Jared Weinstein. *p-adic geometry*. Lecture notes from course at UC Berkeley in Fall 2014, available at https://math.berkeley.edu/∼jared/Math274/ScholzeLectures.pdf. 8